



# Achieving Secure, Scalable Multi-Tenancy in Kubernetes with Kyverno and Advanced Namespace Management

# Know Your Presenters



**Jeff Spahr**

Director, Software Engineering



**Roshan Subudhi**

Sr Manager, Software Engineering



**Jaison Paul**

Sr Lead, Software Engineering



Capital One is a 30-year-old, founder-led, Fortune 100 (NYSE:COF) company

- Nation's largest direct bank
- Third largest credit card issuer
- Second largest financial institution auto loan originator
- Top-10 bank based on US deposits
- Serves more than 100 million customers and has over 50,000 associates



# Agenda

01 **Core Objective**

02 **Challenges**

03 **Solutions**

04 **Results**

05 **Key Takeaways**

Objective

# Enterprise-Grade Multi-Tenant Platforms

# Core Objective

Develop a scalable and compliant multi-tenant platform, prioritizing:

- Robust isolation and predictable performance
- Inherent security and compliance
- Consistent governance throughout the Development to Production lifecycle

Challenges

# Challenges of Managing an Enterprise Multi-Tenant Kubernetes Platform

# Challenges



## Resource Exhaustion

a.k.a “Noisy Neighbor Problem”. A single poorly configured application could consume excessive resources, leading to CPU/Memory starvation and degraded service quality for every other tenant on the shared cluster.



## Operational Scalability

The sheer volume of tenants and deployed resources introduces API server strain and complexity in fleet management, making manual security and governance checks unsustainable.



## Complex Policy Implementation

Relying on individual teams to correctly implement security best practices like, non-root containers, network isolation etc. results in security drift and potential policy violations across the enterprise fleet and leveraging auditable Role-Based Access Control (RBAC).

# Challenge #1 : Resource Exhaustion

## Single workloads consuming excessive CPU/Memory

- Cluster-wide instability from poor configurations
- Manual resource enforcement was unsustainable

## Challenge #2 : Operational Scalability

### Hundreds of Namespaces, thousands of Pods across several Clusters in the Fleet

- API server strain & fleet complexity
- Manual governance checks didn't scale

## Challenge #3 : Complex Policy Implementation

### Teams implementing security inconsistently

- Root containers, hostPath volumes, privilege escalation risks
- Enterprise-wide policy drift
- Keeping up with ever-changing enterprise security guidelines

### Individual developers (UserIDs) need sandboxes

- Application teams (AD Groups) need persistent environments
- Clear, auditable RBAC boundaries required

Solutions

# Layered Governance Framework

# Solutions



## Tiered Namespace Provisioning

Defined distinct Namespace models - Personal Namespaces (UserID-mapped) and Team Namespaces (AD Group-mapped) - that allow for seamless experimentation and development.



## Zero-Touch Resource Governance

Automatically create ResourceQuota and LimitRange objects within every Namespace while a new tenant (development team) is being onboarded.



## Kyverno Policy-as-Code

Utilize Kyverno's capabilities to shift the burden of security compliance from the developer to the platform by enforcing specific configurations and preventing non-compliant resources from being created or updated



## AuthN and AuthZ

Leverage reusable AuthN and AuthZ policies that tie into existing enterprise IDP systems so access is controlled and audited via RBAC policies provisioned based on tenant needs.

# Solution #1: Tiered Namespace Provisioning

## Boundary management for multi-tenant platforms

- Personal Namespaces → UserID-mapped
  - Used for experimentation and prototyping, short-lived.
- Team Namespaces → AD Group-mapped
  - Used by business teams, Dev/QA/Prod, long-lived
- Clear separation of temporary vs persistent environments

# Solution #2: Zero-Touch Resource Governance

## Bootstrap Namespaces with sensible defaults at Onboarding

- Automatic ResourceQuota definition
- Default LimitRange enforcement
- Configure default-deny NetworkPolicy
- Option for Customization from defaults

# Solution #3: Kyverno Policy-as-Code

## Continuous Platform compliance monitoring using Kyverno

- Validation: Prevent non-compliant resources, like *hostPath* or *root*
  - Helps with misconfigurations
- Generation: Inject sidecars required for access to Enterprise systems
  - Helps with Security and Network Isolation
- Mutation: Auto-inject labels like *cost-center* and *owner-contact*
  - Helps with tagging and ownership

## Solution #4: AuthN and AuthZ

### Out-of-the-Box reusable RBAC policies applied uniformly

- Custom privileges based on Dev/QA/Prod environments
- Permissions scoped to Persona i.e., User vs Developer
- Permissions scoped to Role i.e., ReadOnly vs PowerUser vs Admin
- Option for Customization from defaults

Impact

# Results and Impact

# Results

- Elimination of 'Noisy Neighbor' incidents
- Hundreds of active tenant teams supported
- Compliant Team onboarding reduced by over 80%
- Full compliance with Container and Network policies
- Reduced support requests around compliance

Takeaways

# Key Takeaways

# Key Takeaways

## Multi-tenancy must be policy-driven

- Automate governance at onboarding
- Shift security left to the platform layer
- Clear identity boundaries simplify auditing

Thank you!

