

Modeling Alert Quality

Moshe Zadka – <https://cobordism.com>

Acknowledgement of Country

Belmont (in San Francisco Bay Area Peninsula)
Ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone people

What are alerts?

Good or bad

Monitoring

System → Aggregator

Event

Aggregator query

Event

Aggregator query atypical value

Low priority alert

Bad event (not urgent)

High priority alert

Break-fix needed!

High priority alert

Break-fix needed!
Focus of this talk

What is alert quality made of?

What is alert quality made of?

True alarms

What is alert quality made of?

True alarms
False alarms

What is alert quality made of?

True alarms

False alarms

Missing alarms

True Alarm

True Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect

True Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect
- ▶ Detect to acknowledge

True Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect
- ▶ Detect to acknowledge
- ▶ Acknowledge to diagnosis

True Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect
- ▶ Detect to acknowledge
- ▶ Acknowledge to diagnosis
- ▶ Diagnosis to remediation

Missing Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect

Missing Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect
- ▶ Detect to acknowledge

Missing Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect
- ▶ Detect to acknowledge
- ▶ Acknowledge to diagnosis

Missing Alarm

- ▶ Start to detect
- ▶ Detect to acknowledge
- ▶ Acknowledge to diagnosis
- ▶ Diagnosis to remediation

False Alarm

Detect to acknowledgement

False Alarm

Detect to acknowledgement
Acknowledgement to diagnosis

Alerting costs

False alarm

Alerting costs

False alarm

Useless alarm

Non-alerting costs

Extra time to remediate

Non-alerting costs

Extra time to remediate
Broken down

Alert quality as value

Cost reduction because of true alarm

Alert quality as value

Cost reduction because of true alarm minus cost of false alarms

Breaking down costs

Data → Estimation

Cost of false alarm

Number of people

Cost of false alarm

Number of people

Length of time

Cost of false alarm

Number of people

Length of time

Convenience

Incident cost

True alarm

Incident cost

True alarm

Missing alarm

Incident cost

Loss

Incident cost

Loss
Remediation

Remediation cost: disruption

Off business hours?

Remediation cost: disruption

Off business hours?

Delaying critical project?

Remediation cost: disruption

Off business hours?

Delaying critical project?

Needed for handling the incident?

Remediation cost: Work involved

Work to diagnose

Remediation cost: Work involved

Work to diagnose

Work to test

Remediation cost: Work involved

Work to diagnose

Work to test

Work to deploy

Incident loss

Separate from work on incident

Incident loss

Separate from work on incident
Harm

Incident loss

Separate from work on incident
Harm integrated over time

Time to detect

Unknown problem

Time to acknowledge

Time until confirmation of detection

Time to remediate

Known problem

Cost

Immediate

Cost

Immediate
Reputational

Immediate harm

SLA missed

Immediate harm

SLA missed

Business missed

Reputation harm

Customer feedback

Reputation harm

Customer feedback

Customer continued business

Reputation harm

Customer feedback

Customer continued business

New customer acquisition

Secondary incidents cost

Any degradation caused by remediations/mitigations

Measuring value

What would constitute "better"?

Gather data

Estimate when you need to

Priorities

Strategy

Priorities

Strategy
Tactics

Tracking quality

Actual quality:

Tracking quality

Actual quality:
Lagging indicator

Tracking quality: immediate

Approximate quality

Tracking quality: immediate

Approximate quality

Track that

Tracking quality: black swans

Take into account wide "safety margins"

Tracking quality: Goodhart's law

Not a target

Tracking quality: Goodhart's law

Not a target
Feedback

Summary: Alert quality matters

Burn out

Summary: Alert quality matters

Burn out

Customer satisfaction

Summary: Alert quality difficult to track

Time and effort!

Summary: Alert improvement

Measure

Summary: Alert improvement

Measure

Fix

Summary: Alert improvement

Measure

Fix

Iterate