Rethinking System Audit Architectures for High Event Coverage and Synchronous Log Availability





Varun Gandhi, Sarbartha Banerjee, Aniket Agarwal, Adil Ahmed, Sangho Lee, and Marcus Peinado





















Should not be tampered





Should not be tampered

Keep detailed event trace





Should not be tampered

Keep detailed event trace



Forensic Analyst (Monet Version)



Yay!



do not achieve this

Should not be tampered

Keep detailed event trace





Kernel exploits can tamper audit logs



Kernel exploits can tamper audit logs





1









Rulesets determine what system call events get logged

- Rulesets determine what system call events get logged
- A set of rulesets exist as standards across government, industry, and academia

- Rulesets determine what system call events get logged
- A set of rulesets exist as standards across government, industry, and academia
- Analyzed 164 PoC kernel exploits on a subset of standard rule sets

- Rulesets determine what system call events get logged
- A set of rulesets exist as standards across government, industry, and academia
- Analyzed 164 PoC kernel exploits on a subset of standard rule sets

	MITRE	NISPOM	STIG	MSD	Academic
Average	14.7%	12.4%	9.8%	10.2%	15.4%
0% Coverage	60/164	77/164	77/164	80/164	11/164

- Rulesets determine what system call events get logged
- A set of rulesets exist as standards across government, industry, and academia
- Analyzed 164 PoC kernel exploits on a subset of standard rule sets

	MITRE	NISPOM	STIG	MSD	Academic
Average	14.7%	12.4%	9.8%	10.2%	15.4%
0% Coverage	60/164	77/164	77/164	80/164	11/164

- Rulesets determine what system call events get logged
- A set of rulesets exist as standards across government, industry, and academia
- Analyzed 164 PoC kernel exploits on a subset of standard rule sets

	MITRE	NISPOM	STIG	MSD	Academic
Average	14.7%	12.4%	9.8%	10.2%	15.4%
0% Coverage	60/164	77/164	77/164	80/164	11/164

How do we build an audit system with these guarantees?



Should not be tampered

Keep detailed event trace





Protected Storage



Protected Storage



Storage





 Kernel exploits leverage a diverse set of system calls

- Kernel exploits leverage a diverse set of system calls
- Logging all system calls guarantees event coverage

- Kernel exploits leverage a diverse set of system calls
- Logging all system calls guarantees event coverage
- We measured Auditd overhead when logging all system calls on real-world workloads



- Kernel exploits leverage a diverse set of system calls
- Logging all system calls guarantees event coverage
- We measured Auditd overhead when logging all system calls on real-world workloads
- Even for asynchronous logging, the slowdown is prohibitive



OMNILog addresses these efficiency challenges

Challenge 1: High I/O latency for synchronous logging

Challenge 2: Inefficient logging pipeline

OMNILog addresses these efficiency challenges

Challenge 1: High I/O latency for synchronous logging

 Isolating logs in memory within a p persist

Challenge 2: Inefficient logging pipeline

Isolating logs in memory within a protected environment and eventually

OMNILog addresses these efficiency challenges

Challenge 1: High I/O latency for synchronous logging

persist

Challenge 2: Inefficient logging pipeline

Isolating logs in memory within a protected environment and eventually

Optimizing the end-to-end pipeline from log generation to persistence

How does OMNILog build a protected environment? **Enterprise Machine User Process** Untrusted Audit System OS Log Generation TrustZone/ VMX **Protected Buffer** Hardware

















Native Auditd





Native Auditd

Log Generation

Human-Readable ~12k cycles | 1KB

OMNILog



Native Auditd

Log Generation

Human-Readable ~12k cycles | 1KB

OMNILog



Global Buffer

Native Auditd

Log Generation

Human-Readable ~12k cycles | 1KB

OMNILog



Global Buffer

wait when the buffer is full

Native Auditd

Log Generation

Human-Readable ~12k cycles | 1KB

OMNILog

Fast

Log Generation

Raw and compressed ~3k cycles | 64B



Global Buffer

wait when the buffer is full

Native **Auditd**

Log Generation

Human-Readable ~12k cycles | 1KB

OMNILog

Fast og Generation

Raw and compressed ~3k cycles | 64B

Eliminate inter-core contention



Global Buffer

wait when the buffer is full



Native Auditd

Log Generation

Human-Readable ~12k cycles | 1KB

OMNILog

Fast .og Generation

Raw and compressed ~3k cycles | 64B

Eliminate inter-core contention



Global Buffer

wait when the buffer is full





Protected

Disk

OMNILog incurs low overhead over native execution



	OMNILog-ARM:	3.2%	(Geomean)
-			
Lite	Chromium OpenSSL	7zip	GNU Octave

OMNILog-Arm

	OMNILog-x86:	3.6%	(Geomean)
SQLite	Chromium OpenSSL	7zip	GNU Octave
OMNIL	_og-X86		

Conclusion

- Current audit systems architectures:
 - Can't prevent tampering of all logs under kernel exploits
 - Can't keep a detailed trace of security-related events
- OmniLog redesigns audit architecture to:
 - Prevent all log tampering for all events
 - Keep a full trace of all syscalls executed during kernel exploits
- OMNILog's overhead compared to native execution is ~3.5% (geomean)

- Thanks!
- vgandhi@g.harvard.edu