TRust: A Compilation Framework for Inprocess Isolation to Protect Safe Rust against Untrusted Code

Inyoung Bang, Martin Kayondo, Hyungon Moon, and Yunheung Paek

USENIX Security 2023





Rust, memory safe language replacing C/C++

- Rust was invented to help developers build highly safe systems
 - Increasingly popular in industry
 - Rust has been merged into the mainline linux (Oct, 2022)
- Focused on Memory Safety
 - Mostly compile time checks
- Blazingly Fast
 - Better than C/C++ optimized for safety
- Targeted at replacing C/C++, and possibly Python, Java in some aspects









Memory safety in Rust

- Memory Policies
 - > Rust compiler won't allow variable temp to dereference the heap object buffer is pointing to
 - Helps Rust compiler detect memory safety violations

```
void* buffer = malloc(SIZE);
void* temp = buffer; X
```

- Guarantee memory safety with compile time and runtime checks
 - > Type Checks
 - Bounds Checks

char* string = "string"; *string = 'S';

Unchecked codes threatening memory safety

- Unsafe block
 - > Breakage of some Rust memory policies for expressiveness and performance issue
 - $\,\circ\,$ Pointer arithmetic on raw pointer address
 - $\,\circ\,$ Direct manipulation of metadata of Rust data structure
- External libraries written in foreign language
 - Rust can be mixed with libraries written in other languages
 - $\circ~$ Calling libc function in Rust
 - o Assembly codes for low level programming
 - \circ Source code is not always available and can be served as binary executables

Example: vulnerabilities in unchecked codes



Overflow associated with unsafe Rust



Left: Rust code calling C written function¹⁾

Mergendahl, S., Burow, N., & Okhravi, H. Cross-language attacks. NDSS 2022



Right: C code overwriting Rust function pointer

4

Mitigation by In-Process Isolation

- Rust program is composed of two distinct pieces of code:
 - > Safe blocks and unchecked code with potential exploits
- Safe Rust is protected by Rust's memory policies
- Vulnerabilities in unchecked code can undermine protection in Safe Rust
- It is natural to solve this issue by in-process isolation

Existing In-process Isolation Mechanisms

		Protection from			
	Full	Unsafe Rust		External Libs	
	Autumation	Stack	Неар	Stack	Неар
XRust [31]	×	×	1	×	×
Sandcrust [28]	×	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fidelius Charm [15]	×	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
TRUST	\checkmark	√	√	√	√

Table 1: Comparison of In-Process Isolation Policies

- Concurrent work: PKRU-safe
 - > Uses dynamic profiling to isolate heap objects from user annotated external libraries
- So far, no fully automated technique exists
- So far, no technique protects safe Rust from both unsafe Rust and external libs

Goal of TRust

- Goal of TRust: A mechanism that protects safe Rust objects from both unsafe code and external libraries in a fully automated way
 - Safe Rust objects: memory objects that are not "touched" by untrusted codes



Challenges to achieve the goal

- Unlike the unsafe blocks, external libraries cannot be isolated with SFI
 - > external libraries can be delivered in the form of executable binaries
 - > IPC or kernel intervention are expensive to use
 - Intel MPK is perfect fit for this purpose
 - > Automatically instrument entry and exit gate before and after calling external functions
- Automatic identification of unsafe objects and their allocation sites is difficult
 - > Rust's encapsulation on heap allocation hinders identification of allocation sites
 - > Causes context-insensitive analysis to conclude all pointers share a few allocation sites

Overview of TRust

- Uses context-sensitive static analysis¹⁾ to identify unsafe objects "touched" by untrusted code in fully automated way
- Classifies an allocation site unsafe if it finds a flow from the site to a memory access instruction in untrusted code
- Applies SFI to isolate from unsafe code, and MPK to isolate from external libraries



1) https://github.com/SVF-tools/SVF

Distinguish unsafe instructions and external calls

- TRust modifies Rust compiler
- Collect unsafety information
 - Mark all instructions used in unsafe blocks as unsafe code
- Collect external library invocations
 - > Mark all calls to foreign functions as external library call



Identify unsafe pointers and allocation sites

- Collects pointer information
 - Find all pointers used in unsafe instructions
 - Such pointers become unsafe pointers
- Performs points-to analysis and value-flow analysis
 - Identify the allocation sites of unsafe pointers and track its uses.



Function cloning to improve precision

- Based on Value-flow Graph, finds all meaningful callsites contribute to allocation
 - Clone the callee functions
- call sites are replaced with call to cloned functions
- Function cloning contributes to improved analysis precision
 - distinguishing allocation sites and enabling context-sensitive identification of unsafe flows



How programs are transformed?

- Automatically identify unsafe objects that are touched by unsafe Rust
- Automatically identify allocation sites of unsafe objects
- Quarantine untrusted code using MPK and SFI

```
pub fn main() {
    let buf = Vec::new();
    let password = String::new();
    unsafe{
    //external library call
    C_written_func();
    //offset is out of bound
    let ptr = buf.as_ptr().offset(NUM);
    //out-of-bound read
    }
}
```

```
pub fn main() {
    let buf = Vec::new_unsafe();
    let password = String::new();
    unsafe{
    entry_gate();
    C_written_func();
    exit_gate();
    let ptr = buf.as_ptr().offset(NUM);
    if(!in_unsafe_region(ptr))
        raise_error;
    }
}
```

Original Rust Program

TRUST Protected Program14

Evaluation: performance

- TRust shows 9.6% overhead with jemalloc, 7.6% with mimalloc, while XRust induces 26.4%
 - > Although only TRust protects safe objects on the stack and quarantines external libraries
 - > Thanks to address masking and selective SFI using unsafety and foreign function call metadata



Figure 9: Normalized execution time of TRUST and XRust tested with the 11 widely used crates.

Evaluation: memory

- TRust with Jemalloc shows 35% overhead, 13% with Mimalloc as unsafe allocator
 - Due to initialization of size-segregated bins
- Xrust induces 7% overhead
 - PTmalloc based



Figure 11: Normalized memory usage of TRUST and XRust tested with the 11 widely used crates.

Conclusion

- Rust is gaining reputation for its memory safety while maintaining efficiency
- Unsafe code and external libraries in Rust may undermine whole program's security
- TRust is the first attempt to automatically protect safe Rust from unsafe blocks and external libraries
- With an elaborated instrumentation using both SFI and MPK, induces lower performance overhead than previous techniques

Thank you!