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Autonomous driving system is SAFETY-CRITICAL!

May 2020: Tesla on Autopilot Crashes into Overturned Truck on Busy Highway in Taiwan

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X3hrKnv0dPQ
Autonomous driving system is SAFETY-CRITICAL!

April 2021: 2 Killed in Driverless Tesla Car Crash

Autonomous driving system is SAFETY-CRITICAL!

May 2021: Tesla in Autopilot mode crashes into parked police car
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1. Images are collected by camera.
2. Based on the camera images, lane detection module generates the corresponding lanes.
3. Autonomous vehicle behaves based on the lane detection result.

Changing the lane detection result can affect the steering decision (e.g., exploiting its over-sensitivity to create a fake lane!).
Creating a Fake Lane - An Intuitive Approach

Add perturbations and check whether the module will be affected *Manually*. If not, the perturbation should be changed or relocated.

Unfortunately, such an approach is very **labor-intensive** and **error-prone**.
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Two-stage Attack

- **Stage 1:** (1) Add the perturbation to the **camera image** to trigger the lane detection module to generate the corresponding **lane image**.
  
  (2) Formulate an optimization problem based on the **visibility** of perturbation and that of detected lane and adopt **heuristic algorithms** to find the best perturbation.

- **Stage 2:** We deploy the best perturbation in physical world for evaluation.
Challenges and Solutions (I)

- **Challenge 1**: How to extract the data from the real vehicle, which is not exposed to users?

- **Solution 1**: Conduct static and dynamic analysis on the firmware responsible for lane detection to collect the data (camera image and lane image) from the vehicle.

Dumping and visualizing the target data from the GPU on Autopilot
Challenges and Solutions (II)

- **Challenge_2**: How to add perturbations to input camera image?
- **Solution_2**: For the ease of deployment, we use 8 parameters, which form a vector $x$, to represent the attributes of the perturbations. With pinhole camera model and undistortion techniques, these perturbations can be accurately mapped to digital images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$len$</td>
<td>Length of a single perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wid$</td>
<td>Width of a single perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_1$</td>
<td>Longitudinal distance from the vehicle camera to the edge of the first perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_2$</td>
<td>Lateral distance from the vehicle camera to the edge of the first perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_3$</td>
<td>Distance between adjacent perturbations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G$</td>
<td>Increment of grayscale value of the perturbed pixels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>Rotation angle of the perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>Number of the perturbations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters determining the added perturbation

$x = (len, wid, D_1, D_2, D_3, \Delta G, \theta, n) \in X$

Illustration of the parameters
• **Challenge 3:** How to find the best perturbations?

• **Solution 3:** We formulate an **optimization problem** to find the best perturbations. Specifically, we quantify the quality by the visibility of lane and visibility of perturbation. The visibility of lane should be high (to make the attack effective), and the visibility of perturbation should be low (to make the perturbation unobtrusive).

The visibility of lane is given by:

\[ V_{\text{lane}}(x) = \sum_{p \in \text{lane}_o(x)} G_p \]

The visibility of perturbation is given by:

\[ V_{\text{perturb}}(x) = \sum_{p \in \text{perturb}_i(x)} \Delta G \]

The overall score is then defined as:

\[ S(x) = \frac{V_{\text{lane}}(x)}{V_{\text{perturb}}(x)} \]

\[ x^* = \max_{x \in X} S(x) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>One single pixel in the image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{lane}_o(x) )</td>
<td>Lane pixels in the output image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{perturb}_i(x) )</td>
<td>Pixels on the added perturbations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_p )</td>
<td>Grayscale value of pixel ( p )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{lane}}(x) )</td>
<td>Visibility of the fake lane created by ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{perturb}}(x) )</td>
<td>Visibility of the perturbations added by ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S(x) )</td>
<td>Overall score of the parameter ( x )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations of parameters
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Evaluation

Q1: How efficient are the heuristic algorithms to find the best perturbation?

Q2: Can we misguide the vehicle in physical world?

Q3: How do the perturbation number $n$ and the rotation angle $\theta$ affect the best perturbation?

Q4: How is the performance of our approach given different input camera images?

Q5: What are the common characteristics of the best perturbations?

Q6: How effective is the attack in physical world?
Q1: How efficient are the heuristic algorithms to find the best perturbation?

**Approach:** We use 5 heuristic algorithms to find the best perturbations:

- *Beetle Antennae Search (BAS)*
- *Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)*
- *Beetle Swarm Optimization (BSO)*
- *Artificial Bee Colony (ABC)*
- *Simulated Annealing (SA)*

**Answer:** *PSO* is the most efficient one and thus we use it in other experiments.
A best perturbation is shown below. The added perturbation is only 1cm wide in physical world, but it causes the lane detection module to generate a fake lane.

Image: Original camera image → Normal output (no lane) → Fake lane detected → The perturbation can be hardly noticed

Effect of a best perturbation
Q2: Can we misguide the vehicle in physical world?

**Approach:** We deploy the perturbations in a crossroads scenario. Then we switch the vehicle to auto-steer mode and let it pass the crossroads.

**Answer:** the fake lane can successfully misguide the vehicle into oncoming traffic.
Evaluation – Q2: Video

- Please note that the vehicle is in auto-steer mode when recording.
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Conclusion

• Conduct the first investigation on the security of the lane detection module in a real vehicle and reveal that its sensitivity can be exploited to generate fake lanes and consequently mislead the vehicle.

• Propose a novel two-stage approach to generate the optimal perturbations against the lane detection module.

• Conduct extensive experiments on a Tesla vehicle to evaluate our approach. The experimental results show that the lane detection module in Tesla Autopilot is vulnerable to our attack.

• Our future works includes assessments on other autonomous driving systems (e.g., Apollo [1] and Openpilot [2]), and other attack methods (e.g., erasing the existing lane).

Thanks!