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This presentation will discuss:

- The audit of a system, process, or product based on stated objectives, looking at evidence to see if controls are working.

Not:

- An investigation to determine what went wrong.
- Regulatory investigation.
- Code review.
- Penetration test / vulnerability scan.
Why a privacy audit?

- Internal or External Audit
- Data Processor / Service Provider
  - SOC Report
  - ISO 27002/27701 Certification
  - “Right to Audit” clauses in contracts
Why a privacy audit?

**GDPR/UK GDPR Article 28: Processor**

3. Processing by a processor shall be governed by a contract or other legal act under Union or Member State law, that ... shall stipulate, in particular, that the processor:

  (h) makes available to the controller all information necessary to demonstrate compliance with the obligations laid down in this Article and allow for and contribute to audits, including inspections, conducted by the controller or another auditor mandated by the controller.

**GDPR/UK GDPR Article 39: Tasks of the data protection officer**

1. The data protection officer shall have at least the following tasks:

  (b) to monitor compliance with this Regulation, with other Union or Member State data protection provisions and with the policies of the controller or processor in relation to the protection of personal data, including the assignment of responsibilities, awareness-raising and training of staff involved in processing operations, and the related audits;
Why a privacy audit?

**Getting Accountability Right with a Privacy Management Program**

2) Assess and Revise Program Controls

- The effectiveness of program controls should be monitored, periodically audited, and where necessary, revised.
- For critical or high-risk processes, periodic internal or external audits are important ways to assess the effectiveness of an organization’s privacy program. However, at a bare minimum, the Privacy Officer should conduct periodic assessments to ensure key processes are being respected.
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Example: Audit Opinion

“In our opinion, Facebook's privacy controls were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable assurance to protect the privacy of covered information and that the controls have so operated throughout the Reporting Period, in all material respects for the two years ended February 11, 2017, based upon the Facebook Privacy Program set forth in Management's Assertion.”
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Example: Audit Opinion

In our opinion, Facebook's privacy controls were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable assurance to protect the privacy of covered information and that the controls have so operated throughout the Reporting Period, in all material respects for the two years ended February 11, 2017, **based upon the Facebook Privacy Program set forth in Management's Assertion.**
Example: Management Assertion

Assertion F - Third-Party Developers

"Facebook discloses covered information to third-party developers only for the purposes identified in the notices and with the implicit or explicit consent of the individual."
Example: Control Activities

• F-1) Facebook has the following formal policies in place to ensure that personal information is disclosed only to developers who have agreements with Facebook to protect personal information in a manner consistent with Facebook's privacy program:
  • Data Policy, which informs users about how information is disclosed to applications created by developers when a user connects to those applications.
  • Facebook's Platform Policies, which provide specific instructions and details to developers on the handling of user information.
  • Terms, which detail specific requirements for handling personal information and the responsibility of the developer to disclose a privacy policy to end users.
Example: Control Activities

- F-2/F-4) Facebook **requires developers** who access public APIs (F-2) and non-public APIs (F-4) to agree to Facebook's Data Policy, Terms, and Platform Policy, which include consideration of privacy-related requirements such as:
  - Purpose of Use
  - Restrictions on Use
  - Deletion of Data
  - No Transfer
  - Updates of Data
  - Storage
- (F-4) In addition, each non-public API request must be specifically approved by an authorized Facebook employee.
Example: Control Activities

- F-3) Management has implemented mechanisms to ensure that Facebook obtains consent from users prior to disclosing non-public personal information to third-party developers.
- Third party developers are limited to accessing user information based on an appropriate permission list consented to by the user.
# Privacy Frameworks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AICPA Trust Services Criteria</th>
<th>NIST Privacy Framework</th>
<th>ISO 27701</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring of Generally Accepted Privacy Principles (GAPP)</td>
<td>Focus on privacy engineering, risk management</td>
<td>Extension of ISO 27002 (Information Security Standard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geared toward B2B (Service Organization Controls)</td>
<td>Not specifically a privacy audit framework – but can be used as a basis for assessment</td>
<td>Can certify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can certify in some contexts (SOC Reports)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last updated 2017</td>
<td>v1.0 published in 2020</td>
<td>Last updated in 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Available from AICPA (free)</strong></td>
<td>Framework and resources are open source, <a href="#">available on nist.gov</a> and <a href="#">GitHub</a></td>
<td><strong>Proprietary, available for purchase (~USD $185)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illustrative* Comparison: Policies

Substantially similar objectives/controls around policies and agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facebook Management Assertion</th>
<th>AICPA Trust Services Criteria</th>
<th>NIST Privacy Framework</th>
<th>ISO 27701</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• F-1) Facebook has the following formal policies in place...</td>
<td>• CC5.3) COSO Principle 12: The entity deploys control activities through policies that establish what is expected and in procedures that put policies into action.</td>
<td>• GV.PO-P1: Organizational privacy values and policies (e.g., conditions on data processing such as data uses or retention periods, individuals' prerogatives with respect to data processing) are established and communicated.</td>
<td>• 6.2.1.1 Policies for information security and privacy: A set of policies for information security and privacy should be defined, approved by management, published and communicated to employees and relevant external parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data Policy...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Facebook's Platform Policies...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Terms...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For discussion purposes only, not a complete or authoritative mapping
Illustrative* Comparison: Agreements/Commitments

Privacy frameworks go a step further, requiring due diligence on agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facebook Management Assertion</th>
<th>AIPCA Trust Services Criteria</th>
<th>NIST Privacy Framework</th>
<th>ISO 27701</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• F-2/F-4) Facebook requires developers who access public (F-2) and non-public (F-4) APIs to agree to Facebook’s Data Policy, Terms, and Platform Policy...</td>
<td>• P 6.4) The entity obtains privacy commitments from vendors and other third parties who have access to personal information to meet the entity’s objectives related to privacy.</td>
<td>• GV.PO-P4: Privacy roles and responsibilities are coordinated and aligned with third-party stakeholders (e.g., service providers, customers, partners).</td>
<td>• A 7.2.7 Joint PII Controller: The organization shall determine respective roles and responsibilities for the processing of PII (including PII protection and security requirements) with any joint PII controller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The entity assesses those parties’ compliance on a periodic and as-needed basis and takes corrective action, if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• GV.PO-P5: Legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements regarding privacy are understood and managed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For discussion purposes only, not a complete or authoritative mapping
Illustrative* Comparison: Consent

ISO, which is more closely aligned with GDPR, also requires downstream notification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facebook Management Assertion</th>
<th>AICPA Trust Services Criteria</th>
<th>NIST Privacy Framework</th>
<th>ISO 27701</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• F-3) Management has implemented mechanisms to ensure that Facebook obtains consent from users prior to disclosing non-public personal information to third-party developers.</td>
<td>• P6.1) The entity discloses personal information to third parties with the explicit consent of data subjects and such consent is obtained prior to disclosure to meet the entity’s objectives related to privacy.</td>
<td>• CT.PO-P1: Policies, processes, and procedures for authorizing data processing (e.g., organizational decisions, individual consent), revoking authorizations, and maintaining authorizations are established and in place.</td>
<td>• A.7.2.4 Obtain and record consent: The organization shall obtain and record consent from PII principals according to the documented processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Third party developers are limited to accessing user information based on an appropriate permission list consented to by the user.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• CT.PO-P3: Policies, processes, and procedures for enabling individuals’ data processing preferences and requests are established and in place.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illustrative* Comparison: Records of Disclosure

All three privacy frameworks require records of disclosures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facebook Management Assertion</th>
<th>Trust Services Criteria</th>
<th>NIST Privacy Framework</th>
<th>ISO 27701</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Not in scope</td>
<td>• P 6.2) The entity creates and retains a complete, accurate, and timely record of authorized disclosures of personal information to meet the entity’s objectives related to privacy.</td>
<td>• CM.AW-P4: Records of data disclosures and sharing are maintained and can be accessed for review or transmission/disclosure.</td>
<td>• 7.5.4 Records of PII disclosure to third parties: The organization should record disclosures of PII to third parties, including what PII has been disclosed, to whom and at what time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For discussion purposes only, not a complete or authoritative mapping
Are privacy audits effective?

Do we have evidence that this thing was doing what it said it would do?

In our opinion, Facebook's privacy controls were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable assurance to protect the privacy of covered information and that the controls have so operated throughout the Reporting Period, in all material respects for the two years ended February 11, 2017, based upon the Facebook Privacy Program set forth in Management's Assertion.