


POLYCORN: Data-driven Cross-layer Multipath Networking for High-speed Railway through Composable Schedulerlets

Yunzhe Ni, Feng Qian, Taide Liu, Yihua Cheng, Zhiyao Ma, Jing Wang,
Zhongfeng Wang, Gang Huang, Xuanzhe Liu, Chenren Xu



POLYCORN: Data-driven Cross-layer Multipath Networking for High-speed Railway through Composable Schedulerlets



- Extra Networking Challenges From Extreme Mobility
 - Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous
 - Inaccurate Measurements Hurt Performance
- System Design
 - Event-triggered Schedulerlets
 - Composable Scheduling Framework
- Evaluation
 - Deployment on HSR LTE Gateway
 - 3 weeks/52720 km Evaluation on Beijing-Shanghai Route

Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous


- High-speed railway (HSR) in China
 - Travels at 300-350 km/h
 - 155000 km (until 2022), available in 29 major cities
 - 1.6 billion trips in 2022 and 10+ billion so far
- Internet access on HSR
 - Cellular network
 - > Mostly LTE
 - HSR public Wi-Fi
 - > Offered by the “Fuxing” HSR train
 - > Based on LTE




Next: Measurement data (long-lived TCP flows) on HSR LTE network, 2 carriers
Carrier A = China Mobile; Carrier B = China Unicom

Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous

- Single carrier HSR LTE network: **Fluctuating**



~25% of the cases:
Ratio is lower than 0.5 or higher than 2




~1.8% packets experienced timeout
~24% of them experienced multiple timeouts


Takeaway: Key performance metrics, such as throughput and RTT, could change significantly in several RTTs

Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous

- Single carrier HSR LTE network: **Unpredictable**
 - Would fixed rail tracks lead to predictable network performance?



~3.2 median ratio, up to 100




Signal strength varies over days

Takeaway: Fixed rail tracks would not make TCP performance or signal strength predictable

Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous

- Multi-carrier HSR LTE network: **Heterogeneous**
 - Would different infrastructure deployment lead to different network performance?




~70% of the cases:
Throughput ratio < 0.5 or > 2

~45% of the cases:
RTT ratio < 0.5 or > 2

Takeaway: When one path performs badly, others may be much better
(Multipath transport that uses multiple carriers at the same time
is a promising approach for optimizing HSR LTE network)

Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous

- Multi-carrier HSR LTE network: **Heterogeneous**
 - Highly-dynamic interleaved RTT introduces great challenge in multipath scheduling




~26% of the cases: ratio < 0.5 or > 2

“Best” path changes every 2-4 RTTs

Takeaway: Permanently best path is not available;
Choosing a better path is critical because of the disparate performance


Inaccurate Measurements Hurt Performance

- Inaccurately measured path performance challenges multipath schedulers



Inaccurate Measurements Hurt Performance


- Inaccurately measured path performance challenges multipath schedulers



*Common design principle:
More sophisticated network model = Better performance*

Inaccurate Measurements Hurt Performance

- Inaccurately measured path performance challenges multipath schedulers



The real case:

*More sophisticated network model + **accurate measurement** = Better performance
(not true on HSR)*

Inaccurate Measurements Hurt Performance

- Important fact
 - ACK-based feedback provides recent network performance
 - Scheduling requires current network performance!
- The common practice:
 - Use **recent** performance as an approximation of **current** performance, ignore the difference
- **Major challenge:** On HSR, **recent** performance \neq **current** performance
 - Causes measurements to be inaccurate
 - > Leads to erroneous scheduling decisions that hurts performance

POLYCORN: Data-driven Cross-layer Multipath Networking for High-speed Railway through Composable Schedulerlets


- Extra Networking Challenges From Extreme Mobility
 - Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous
 - Inaccurate Measurements Hurt Performance
- System Design
 - Event-triggered Schedulerlets
 - Composable Scheduling Framework
- Evaluation
 - Deployment on HSR LTE Gateway
 - 3 weeks/52720 km Evaluation on Beijing-Shanghai Route



Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- Reconsider the multipath scheduler design principle for high mobility

Sophisticated network model
+ accurate **measurement**
= Better performance



Robust network model
+ accurate **performance indicator**
= Better performance

Start from a robust “base” scheduler, shape its behavior when specified events are detected



Handles most cases that cannot be correctly understood by the transport protocol in a robust manner




Once happen, very possibly that specified action (schedulerlet) should be taken (activated)

Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- Reconsider the multipath scheduler design principle for high mobility

Sophisticated network model
+ accurate **measurement**
= Better performance



Robust network model
+ accurate **performance indicator**
= Better performance

Start from a robust “base” scheduler, shape its behavior when specified events are detected



Handles most cases that cannot be correctly understood by the transport protocol in a robust manner



Once happen, very possibly that specified schedulerlet should be activated




Shapes the behavior of multipath scheduler by manipulating its input and output

Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- Reconsider the multipath scheduler design principle for high mobility

Sophisticated network model
+ accurate **measurement**
= Better performance



Robust network model
+ accurate **performance indicator**
= Better performance

Start from a robust “base” scheduler, shape its behavior when specified events are detected



Handles most cases that cannot be correctly understood by the transport protocol in a robust manner



Once happen, very possibly that specified action (schedulerlet) should be taken (activated)

“Try to understand the network only when it is understandable.”

Event-triggered Schedulerlets


- **Event #1:** Handover failure

- Successful/Failed handovers could be classified with a simple SVM with signal strength and location as feature
- For each cell, predict a location, and the time \widehat{t}_{HOF} when the train would pass the location. If handover did not happen before \widehat{t}_{HOF} , predict the handover to be fail.
- Refer to the paper for details!

Handovers typically happen at similar location

Lower signal strength, lower handover success rate


Later handover, lower handover success rate



Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- **Schedulerlet #1: Handover-failure-aware Path Rejection (HPR)**

- Triggered when the train is approaching \widehat{t}_{HOF} .
- Disable path to avoid packet losses
- Drain the queue before handover to avoid spurious losses caused by loss of ACKs
- Re-enable the path when the train enters next cell
- Expected impact
 - > Faster delivery during link disconnection
 - > TCP timeout (and slow start) avoidance



- Before t_{HOF} : Drain queue, avoid spurious RTO
- After t_{HOF} : Avoid sending on disconnected path



$$L := SRTT + \frac{E_{GPS}}{V_{HSR}}$$

(Loosed RTT estimation)

t_{HOF} : Predicted with Linear SVM, location as feature

Event-triggered Schedulerlets


- **Event #2:** Incoming session tail
 - Path diversity may cause large tail delay, which hurts short session user experience
 - Large RTT difference in different paths; fast-changing “best” path



Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- **Schedulerlet #2: Tail-aware Path Rejection (TPR)**

- Triggered for a specified user session when the end of the session (FIN/RST) is detected
- Favor good full link over bad available link during the session tail
 - > Sacrifice full interface(s) utilization for reduced out-of-order delay
 - > Discard if link delay > total time needed by the best path to complete the session
- Expected impact
 - > Faster (short) flow completion



- Before FIN/RST: Send on all paths
 - After FIN/RST: Avoid sending on **clogged** paths
- If $T_{i,f}^- > T_{i,f}^+$, then path i is **clogged** for packet f

$$T_{i,f}^- := owd_i + \frac{buf_i}{bw_i}$$


(Lower bound of packet delivery time)

$$T_{i,f}^+ := \min_{j \neq i} \left\{ \left(owd_j + \frac{buf_j + remain_f}{bw_j} \right) (1 + \eta_j) \right\}$$

(Upper bound of single-path flow completion time)

Event-triggered Schedulerlets


- **Event #3:** Path idle
 - Performance metrics on paths varies quickly over time
 - Performance metrics on idle paths could be very inaccurate



Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- **Schedulerlet #3: Opportunistic Redundant Traffic Injection (ORI)**


- Triggered when a path was kept idle for some period
- Send redundant copies on idle (bad) paths to detect their “recovery” state earlier
 - > Keep network metrics updated
 - > Opportunistically reduce session-level packet loss and out-of-order delay
- Expected Impact
 - > Higher interface utilization rate
 - > Better scheduling decision



- Before detection: Avoid sending on bad paths
- After detection: Send probe packets (redundant copies)
 - If no traffic is scheduled over a path for α seconds, or β bytes worth of data, the path is **idle**
 - Send at most τ probe packets onto idle path to refresh the network performance metrics
 - $\alpha = 1, \beta = 8\text{KB}, \tau = 16$

Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- **Event #4:** Repeated retransmission timeout
 - Frequent timeout; Multiple timeouts on a single packet




~1.8% packets experienced timeout;

~24% of them experienced multiple timeouts.

Event-triggered Schedulerlets

- **Schedulerlet #4: Extended Reinjection (ER)**


- Triggered when packets experienced α repeated timeouts
- Reinject all unACKed packets upon α timeouts on a single packet
 - > Balancing performance gain and overhead
 - ~ E.g., $\alpha = 3$ incurs 0.4% overhead, while $\alpha = 2$ incurs 15% (which is unacceptable)
- Expected Impact
 - > Less packets with extremely prolonged delay and shorter end-to-end delay



- Before RTO # α : MPTCP-flavored reinjection
 - Upon RTO # α : Reinject all unACKed packets sent on corresponding path
- $\alpha = 3$

Composable Scheduling Framework


- System Overview (Refer to the paper for detailed design!)



- Base scheduler + Event-triggered **Schedulerlets**
- Completeness: By properly applying Schedulerlets, one can convert any specified multipath scheduler A into any other multipath scheduler B


Composable Scheduling Framework

- System Overview (Refer to the paper for detailed design!)



POLYCORN: Data-driven Cross-layer Multipath Networking for High-speed Railway through Composable Schedulerlets

- Extra Networking Challenges From Extreme Mobility
 - Fluctuating, Unpredictable and Heterogeneous
 - Inaccurate Measurements Hurt Performance
- System Design
 - Event-triggered Schedulerlets
 - Composable Scheduling Framework
- Evaluation
 - Deployment on HSR LTE Gateway
 - 3 weeks/52720 km Evaluation on Beijing-Shanghai Route



Deployment on HSR LTE Gateway

- The HSR LTE gateway
 - Per-cabin Wi-Fi AP
 - Per-train LTE Gateway (shown in the figure)
 - > Fixed antenna on top of the cabin
 - > Multiple prioritized LTE interfaces from major cellular carriers
 - We acquired exclusive access to 4 LTE interfaces from 2 carriers for our evaluation
 - > 2 for Polycorn, 2 for the baseline solution
- Where did we evaluate Polycorn?
 - Beijing-Shanghai HSR route
 - > 1318km total length, busiest HSR route in China
 - > 3 weeks, 40 trips (52720km)



Deployment on HSR LTE Gateway


- The HSR LTE gateway
 - Per-cabin Wi-Fi AP
 - Per-train LTE Gateway (shown in the figure)
 - > Fixed antenna on top of the cabin
 - > Multiple prioritized LTE interfaces from major cellular carriers
 - We acquired exclusive access to 4 LTE interfaces from 2 carriers for our evaluation
 - > 2 for Polycorn, 2 for the baseline solution
- Where did we evaluate Polycorn?
 - Beijing-Shanghai HSR route
 - > 1318km total length, busiest HSR route in China
 - > 3 weeks, 40 trips (52720km)



Beijing-Shanghai
HSR route (1318km)


Deployment on HSR LTE Gateway

- Experimental setup: fair pairwise tests



On-board Evaluation


- Single user bulk data download performance



Goodput gain over MPTCP minRTT:

+41% (256 KB), +47% (1 MB), +78% (4 MB)

57% (average)




Microbenchmark goodput gain:

+7% (HPR), +18.8% (ORI),

+4.2% (TPR), 2.9% (ER)


On-board Evaluation

- Multi-user instant messaging performance



Delivery time reduction:

45% over SPTCP and 16% over MPTCP



Coefficient of variance reduction:

86% over SPTCP and 49% over MPTCP

POLYCORN: Data-driven Cross-layer Multipath Networking for High-speed Railway through Composable Schedulerlets

[Yunzhe Ni](#), [Feng Qian](#), [Taide Liu](#), [Yihua Cheng](#), [Zhiyao Ma](#), [Jing Wang](#), [Zhongfeng Wang](#), [Gang Huang](#), [Xuanzhe Liu](#), [Chenren Xu](#)

- Conclusion + Take-away messages
 - HSR LTE networks are fluctuating, unpredictable and heterogeneous.
 - > In this work, we study to what extent these features are present, and how to derive a multipath scheduler design based on them.
 - > Also, we show that handover failures could be classified and predicted using historical data for the first time. (Refer to the paper!)
 - Event-driven approach that **tries to understand the network only when it is understandable** works.
 - > Start from a **robust** “base” scheduler, shape its behavior when **specified events** are detected.
 - Polycorn, with its composable scheduler framework and event-triggered schedulerlets, achieved 57% (average) better goodput compared to its base scheduler, outperformed SOTA multipath schedulers, and preserved user-level fairness in multi-user scenario.
 - > Evaluated on HSR LTE gateway, Beijing-Shanghai HSR route.
- Thanks for listening!

