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What is Orion?

Orion is...

- Google’s 2nd generation SDN control plane
- Responsible for configuration, management, real-time network control
- In all our data center (Jupiter), campus, and private Wide Area (B4) networks
- In production for over 4 years
- A micro-services based architecture with a PubSub Network Information Base
- An evolving platform
  - biweekly releases, over 30 new significant capabilities, improved scale by 16x, improved availability by 50x (Jupiter) / 100x (B4), and improved convergence time by a factor of 40x
Software Design Principles

- Intent flows down
- Ground truth flows up
- Controller algorithm
  - Continually reconcile intent with ground truth
  - In the least disruptive way possible
- Controllers layer
  - One controller’s intent is another controller’s ground truth
    - Inter block routing → intra block routing → per-node flow programming
- Intent and ground truth are shared in a pub-sub Network Information Base (NIB)
Orion: Continuous Reconciliation

- Ordinary reconciliation is continuous
  - E.g. Network failures trigger rerouting
- Typical controller failure: Shared data preserved
  - Rebuild internal controller state as needed and continue
- Special case: Shared data lost
  - Capability Reconciliation: orderly reconciliation of lost data
  - Controllers:
    - Block on abstract capabilities reflecting required input state
    - Explicitly mark provided capabilities ready
  - Avoids need for strong durability of shared data
- Simplify: map all errors to a kind of controller failure
- For all reconciliations, convergence speed matters
  - Implies a loss of control or a period of lost traffic
Handling Dataplane ↔ Controller Disconnects

- Controllers manage switches over an asynchronous network
- Controller observed disconnect ≠ dataplane failure
- Experience: *Controller connection failures* are more common than dataplane network failures.
- Strategy:
  - Aggressively route around small uncorrelated failures (fail-closed)
  - Preserve current state in larger or correlated failures (fail-static)
Production Experience: Blast Radius Alignment

- Significant outage in 2019
- Controller jobs were physically co-located near devices to limit blast radius!
- Their virtual job management grouping was shared.
- A facility maintenance event
  - a series of misconfigured behaviors
  - disabled all Orion jobs in one virtual group
  - failure larger than networks at that time were designed to tolerate
  - a gap in our fail-static implementation for BGP caused routes to be withdrawn
  - a user-visible outage
  - Even though data plane forwarding was generally not disrupted!

Conclusion: all management activities should be scoped and rate-limited
Thank You!

- Thank you to everyone who watches this!
- Thank you to my awesome coauthors and all the many collaborators who are not named on the paper!
- Questions? Comments? Want to join us?

orion-nsdi2021@google.com
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