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Abstract

We outline the groundwork for a new software development approach where developers author the server-side application logic and rely on tools to automatically synthesize the corresponding client-side application logic. Our approach uses program analysis techniques to extract a logical specification from the server and synthesizes client code from that specification. Our implementation (WAVES) synthesizes interactive client interfaces that include asynchronous callbacks whose performance and coverage rival that of manually written clients, while ensuring that no new security vulnerabilities are introduced.

1 Introduction

Current practices in mainstream web development isolate the construction of the client component of an application from the server component. These practices are a byproduct of the fact that the client component is often written using a different programming language and platform (HTML and JavaScript in a web browser) than the server (e.g., PHP, Java, ASP), therefore necessitating developers with different skill sets. Independent development is problematic when the client and server share application logic. In this paper, we are concerned with a specific kind of application logic shared by the client and server: the input validation logic. Performing input validation on the client improves the user experience because of immediate feedback about errors, and if the validation is entirely self-contained on the client, it reduces network and server load. Performing input validation on the server is necessary for security, since a malicious user can otherwise bypass the client validation and supply invalid data to the server [2]. Necessarily then the client and the server must implement the same input validation logic if the application is to give users the interactive experience they expect, while ensuring the security of the application.

In this paper, we pursue a new methodology that aims to improve the development process and achieve a higher level of consistency. In our approach, web developers author the server side input validation of a web application, and WAVES automatically synthesizes the input validation for the client. If the input validation must change, the developer changes the server-side code and reruns WAVES. The benefits of our approach include:

- Development efficiency. Developers no longer repeat themselves—client validation code is automatically synthesized.
- Greater compatibility and code efficiency. The potential for validation mismatches between client and server is reduced, because developers can specify all validation checks in server code and use tools to generate equivalent validation code optimized for the client.
- Improved security. Our approach allows the development team to spend more time on the server side component, and encourages the specification of all validation checks in the server code itself.

Our implementation of WAVES uses program analysis techniques to automatically extract a logical representation of the input validation checks on the server and then synthesizes efficient client-side input validation routines. Of particular note is that WAVES generates code for validation checks that involve server-side state and utilize asynchronous requests (AJAX) to perform the required validation. The high-level challenges that WAVES addresses include:

- Inference of server-side constraints. The server-side validation may be performed in terms of server-side variables within deeply nested control flows of the application. The server-side constraints must be extracted and expressed in terms of the form fields.
- Validation involving the server. Some validation may involve server-side state for a variety of reasons.
2 Our Approach

WAVES (Web Application Validation Extraction and Synthesis), incorporates client side validation in applications in the following four conceptually distinct phases.

(1) Server analysis. WAVES first extracts the input validation constraints enforced by the server using dynamic program analysis. The key insight is that when the server is given an input it accepts, that input is processed along a success path. WAVES captures a sequence of if-statements along this path, which contains all the input validation constraints. With the execution trace, WAVES then rewrites the if-statements in terms of the original form field inputs and produces a list of potential input validation constraints. It then analyzes each one to determine if it is truly an input validation constraint—one that when falsified causes the server to reject the input. WAVES then identifies which constraints are dependent on the server’s environment (the dynamic constraints) and which are not (the static constraints).

(2) Client-side code generation. Next, WAVES synthesizes client-side code to check the extracted constraints each time the user changes the value of a form field. Static constraints can be checked directly by JavaScript code, but dynamic constraints can only be checked by the server. So for each form field, WAVES generates client side code that first checks if any static constraints are violated and if not sends a message via AJAX to the server asking if any of the dynamic constraints are violated.

(3) Server-side code generation. The asynchronous messages sent by the client to check the dynamic constraints for a form field can only be responded to by special-purpose server-side code. (The original code assumes the user provided values for all form fields, but the clients asynchronous messages aim to check constraints even before the user completes the form.) Thus, to generate the proper server code that permits dynamic constraint checking on the client, WAVES performs code slicing on the server code to create an AJAX stub.

(4) Integration. After code generation, the client is augmented with event handlers that properly invoke the generated code and inform the user of errors. Server-side integration requires only uploading the generated AJAX stub code to the server’s application directory.

3 Evaluation


To evaluate our approach we selected one form from each of the three medium to large and popular PHP applications. For each selected form, we first manually analyzed the server-side code and identified the constraints being checked—we call this the “ideal” synthesis and use it to assess the effectiveness of WAVES. For each application, Column 2 of Table 1 shows the ideal number of constraints (static + dynamic). As shown in the next column, WAVES was able to synthesize over 83% of the constraints identified by the ideal synthesis.

We also compared the code WAVES synthesized with code written manually by application developers. The third application in our test suite, WebSubRev, already had client-side validation. For this form, the server-side code checked 6 constraints (Column 1 Table 1), and the developer written client-side code checked 5 constraints (all of which were static). WAVES generated 4 static constraints and 1 dynamic constraint, therefore synthesizing 80% of the static constraints and 100% of the dynamic constraints. (The reason WAVES missed one constraint was due to a limitation of Kaluza.) We refer the interested reader to a more detailed technical report [1] that provides an in-depth treatment of issues involved in realizing WAVES as well as experimental data created for our tool.

4 Conclusion

The novel approach to developing web applications reported in this paper allows the developer to improve security (without sacrificing client interactivity) by focusing on hardening the server-side input validation. Our experimental results indicate that automated synthesis can result in highly interactive web applications, and the synthesized checks rival human-generated code in coverage and expressiveness.

Table 1: WAVES synthesized 83% constraints successfully.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
<th>WAVES</th>
<th>Existing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2Evolution</td>
<td>10+1</td>
<td>7+1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeBid</td>
<td>17+8</td>
<td>16+6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WebSubRev</td>
<td>5+1</td>
<td>4+1</td>
<td>5+0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References