

StRAID: Stripe-threaded Architecture for Parity-based RAIDs with Ultra-fast SSDs

Shucheng Wang¹, Qiang Cao¹, Ziyi Lu¹, **Hong Jiang**², Jie Yao¹ and Yuanyuan Dong³

> ¹ Huazhong University of Science and Technology ² University of Texas Arlington ³ Alibaba Group

RAID Systems

- RAID (Redundant Array of Independent Disks) is widely used
 - Non-parity RAID:
 - RAID-0 (striping) and RAID-1 (mirroring)
 - Parity-based RAID:
 - ≻ RAID-4/5/6
 - Balancing performance and reliability
 - Read-modify-write nature



RAID Systems

- RAID (Redundant Array of Independent Disks) is widely used
 - Non-parity RAID:
 - RAID-0 (striping) and RAID-1 (mirroring)
 - Parity-based RAID:
 - ≻ RAID-4/5/6
 - Balancing performance and reliability
 - Read-modify-write nature
- Linux MD: popular software RAID component
 - Linux kernel module
 - No need for extra hardware
 - Compatible with various storages



SSD Storage Trend

• Modern SSD hardware delivers higher write throughput



Linux MD upon SSDs

- Motivational Test
 - RAIDs setup
 - Non-parity: RAID-0 level
 - > Parity-based: RAID-5 (5+1) and RAID-6 (4+2) level
 - Enable the multi-worker mechanism
 - SSD products

Device Types	Products	Capacity	Stable Write Throughput (MB/s)	Stable Read Throughput (MB/s)
SATA SSD	Samsung 860 PRO	512GB	500	510
NVMe SSD	Samsung 970 PRO	512GB	2200	3200
NVMe SSD	Samsung 980 PRO	1TB	2600	6900

> 14 GB/s total write bandwidth on six SSDs

Multi-thread Write Scalability

- Parity-based RAIDs fail to scale for high-performance SSDs
 - Larger performance gap on fast SSDs
 - > Full-stripe writes (1MB, without read-modify-write) still suffers



Multi-thread Write Scalability

- Parity-based RAIDs fail to scale for high-performance SSDs
 - A diminishing return in performance of the multi-worker mechanism
 - > Throughput gains peak at +16 worker threads (WTs)
 - > 5% decline with more WTs



Performance contribution of the multi-worker mechanism

- "N-for-all" processing model
 - Incoming block I/Os are temporarily stored in the Stripe Cache
 - Aggregate bios at the granularity of stripes
 - Use stripe_heads (SH) to maintain stripe states
 - Store SHs in stripe_lists



- "N-for-all" processing model
 - Incoming block I/Os are temporarily stored in the Stripe Cache
 - A set number of WTs asynchronously and nonexclusively handle stripewrite tasks



- MD's concurrency control
 - The device_lock in MD
 - A **spin-lock** shared between WTs
 - For updating shared structures (stripe_lists and metadata, etc.)



- MD's concurrency control
 - MD device lock
 - A spin-lock shared between WTs
 - For updating shared structures (stripe_lists and metadata, etc)
 - Stripe-write workflow:
 - Multi-stage stripe processing





- MD's concurrency control
 - MD device lock
 - A spin-lock shared between WTs
 - For updating shared structures (stripe_lists and metadata, etc)
 - Stripe-write workflow:
 - Multi-stage stripe processing
 - Four handling steps in each stage
 - **1.** Fetch a SH from handle_list



- MD's concurrency control
 - MD device lock
 - A spin-lock shared between WTs
 - For updating shared structures (stripe_lists and metadata, etc)
 - Stripe-write workflow:
 - Multi-stage stripe processing
 - Four handling steps in each stage
 - 1. Fetch a SH from handle_list
 - 2. Analyze stripe & device states
 - Use semaphores
 - Need rcu_read_lock



- MD's concurrency control
 - MD device lock
 - A spin-lock shared between WTs
 - For updating shared structures (stripe_lists and metadata, etc)
 - Stripe-write workflow:
 - Multi-stage stripe processing
 - Four handling steps in each stage
 - 1. Fetch a SH from handle_list
 - 2. Analyze stripe & device states
 - 3. Operations for handling stripe
 - 4. **Release** and insert the SH into a stripe_list



- Breakdown of CPU cycles on critical functions and locks in WTs
 - CPU becomes the bottleneck on concurrency control
 - \succ Few CPU cycles are used to drive I/Os \rightarrow storage devices are underutilized



StRAID overview

- "One-for-one" processing model
 - Goals:
 - Efficient CPU utilization
 - Reduce partial-stripe-write penalty
 - Stripe-threaded architecture
 - Dedicated WT for each stripe-write
 - Eliminate global lock
 - Reduce stripe state checking



StRAID overview

- "One-for-one" processing model
 - Goals:
 - Efficient CPU utilization
 - Address partial-stripe-write penalty
 - Stripe-threaded architecture
 - Dedicated WT for each stripe-write
 - Eliminate global lock contention
 - Reduce stripe state checking
 - ➢ Stripe State Table
 - Conduct thread collaboration
 - Maintain indispensable shared stripe states and per-stripe locks



StRAID overview

- "One-for-one" processing model
 - Goals:
 - Efficient CPU utilization
 - Address partial-stripe-write penalty
 - Stripe-threaded architecture
 - Dedicated WT for each stripe-write
 - Eliminate global lock contention
 - Reduce stripe state checking
 - Stripe State Table
 - Conduct thread collaboration
 - Maintain indispensable shared stripe states and per-stripe locks
 - Two-phase stripe submission
 - Opportunistic write batching
 - Per-stripe batching queue



- An example: four I/O threads issue block I/Os
 - \blacktriangleright bio 0 \rightarrow stripe 5
 - \succ bio 1 to bio 3 → stripe 8



• Dedicated WT aggregates requests targeting the same stripe in the batching phase



• Dedicated WT aggregates requests targeting the same stripe in the batching phase



Stripe State Table

Stripe ID	Stripe Lock	TID	is_batching		
5	Locked	0	True		
8	Locked	1	True		
•••••					

• Dedicated WT aggregates requests targeting the same stripe in the batching phase



• Dedicated WT stops batching phase after reading complete



- Dedicated WT stops batching phase after reading complete
- Requests failed to batch must wait for the dedicated WT to complete



• After completing stripe processing, WT cleans up SST-entry and returns I/O



• The waiting WT will try to re-acquire the stripe lock



Evaluation Setup

• Platform

System	Linux kernel version 5.13		
CPU	Duel Intel Xeon Gold 6328 CPU (totally 56 physical cores)		
Memory	256 GB		
SSD	6 x Samsung 970PR0 (NVMe, 2.2GB/s stable write)		
	6 x Samsung 980PR0 (NVMe, 2.6GB/s stable write)		
РМ	6 x Intel Optane PM 128GB (2.3GB/s stable write)		

• RAID setup

- RAID-5 (5+1) and RAID-6 (4+2) with 64KB chunk size
- Workloads
 - Micro-benchmarks: partial-stripe writes (64KB) and full-stripe writes (1MB)
 - Macro-benchmarks: traces from Microsoft, Ali-Pangu, and Filebench

Micro-benchmark Results

- StRAID archives 2.4x 3.1x higher write throughput than MD
- StRAID reduces 76% 90% average write latency from MD



Breakdown of CPU cycles

- StRAID reduces up to 90% lock overhead
 - < 5% CPU overhead on the two-phase submission
- The total CPU utilization of StRAID is up to 6.3x lower than MD



Upper bound Evaluation

- Run StRAID over six ramdisks (*-RAM) and Intel Optane PMs (*-PM)
- StRAID on RAMs archives up to 5.8x higher throughput than MD



Macro-benchmark Results

- Average throughput: 2x 2.8x higher than MD
- Mean, average, and 99th-percentile latency: 10.3x, 49%, and 25% lower than MD



Conclusion

- StRAID: a new architecture for parity-based RAID on SSDs
 - Stripe-threaded architecture to efficiently parallelize stripe-write tasks
 - Two-phase stripe submission to address partial-stripe-write penalty
 - Performs significantly better than existing Linux MD
- See paper for more details

• Source code: <u>https://github.com/wsczq1/straid</u>

Thanks