# USENIX Association Board of Directors Conference Call September 10, 2012 Minutes, 9/10/12

### Attendance:

### **Board:**

Margo Seltzer
John Arrasjid
Brian Noble
Carolyn Rowland
David Blank-Edelman
Niels Provos
Sasha Fedorova

## Staff:

Anne Dickison
Casey Henderson

Meeting consisted of a one-hour conference call from 7:00 -8:00 p.m. ET - Meeting began promptly at 7:00.

The previous board members approved the June 12, 2012 minutes. Motion made, seconded and carried. All present approved.

Prior to the conference call, the Board was asked by Seltzer to review, via e-mail, pros and cons regarding location and timing of HotPar and be prepared to make a decision for 2013 during the call.

Following discussion, the board directed to the staff that no standalone workshops should be scheduled in the future, beginning with HotPar '13

There was a plan to move HotPar to FedWeek in 2013. That would cause overlap with ICSA. It was suggested we co-locate with NSDI. There was also discussion of expanding HotPar in the future by adding additional active steering committee members and accepting formal papers.

The board agreed that we should co-locate HotPar with NSDI for 2013.

# Action Item(s)

Fedorova agreed to be the point-person to attempt to grow HotPar.

Prior to the conference call, the Board was asked to discuss via e-mail possible co-located events at Federated Conferences Week '13:

- ICAC (<a href="http://nsfcac.rutgers.edu/conferences/icac/">http://nsfcac.rutgers.edu/conferences/icac/</a>) International Conference on Autonomic Computing
- HotSWUp (<a href="http://www.hotswup.org">http://www.hotswup.org</a>) a workshop which tries to bring together the
  different communities that are working on software upgrades

The Board discussed and agreed it was worth pursuing.

# Action Item(s)

- Blank-Edelman agreed to be liaison for HotSWUp, with staff help
- Noble agreed to be liaison for ICAC

Prior to the conference call the Board was asked to consider a possible content partnership with ACM Queue. Our content would be in front of the pay-wall so it would not conflict with our open access policy. The Board discussed the opportunity and decided it was a good idea to move forward.

The EDs presented updates on several administrative items within the office.

# Action Item(s)

- Homework for the BOD: "Role as a Board member" needs some work
- Homework for the BOD: How should we update or improve the USENIX mission statement?
- Action: Anne and Casey want feedback at October meeting as they've been in the position for six months.