In the paper “Hey, You Have a Problem: On the Feasibility of Large-Scale Web Vulnerability Notification” by Ben Stock, Giancarlo Pellegrino, and Christian Rossow, *Saarland University*; Martin Johns, *SAP SE*; Michael Backes, *Saarland University and Max Planck Institute for Software Systems (MPI-SWS)* (Friday session, “Web Measurements,” pp. 1015–1032 of the Proceedings) the authors wish to correct the following errors:

**Section 5.2.1, first paragraph, bottom of page 1022 and top of page 1023:**

**Original text:**
The WHOIS group was the communication channel with the highest fix ratio (+15.4%). Next, the TTPs (+12.1%) and providers (+10.9%) were second and third, respectively. The least effective channel was Generic, which still showed a 5.9% increase over the control group.

**Corrected text:**
The WHOIS group was the communication channel with the highest fix ratio (+13.8%). Next, the TTPs (+11.0%) and providers (+10.7%) were second and third, respectively. The least effective channel was Generic, which still showed a 7.7% increase over the control group.

**References, Reference [9], page 1030:**

**Original text:**
Fear the EAR: Discovering and mitigating execution after redirect vulnerabilities.

**Corrected text:**
Fear the EAR: Discovering and mitigating execution after redirect vulnerabilities.