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Adoption of Smart Home Personal Assistants - SPAs

- Its estimated that 10% of global consumers own a smart home personal assistant

- Amazon Echo and Google Home are the most used SPAs.
  - 2018 (Q1): 3.2M Google Home and 2.5M Amazon Echo

- In the future, its estimated more users will adopt into using smart home personal assistants such as the ones we study.
“41% of voice assistant users have concerns about trust and privacy” Forbes

“More homes are becoming smart... increasing security and privacy risks”
Not just SMART SPEAKERS...
Smart Home Personal Assistant (SPA) Eco-system

Smart Speaker
(Amazon Echo, Google Home)
Contributions

• We investigate users understanding of the SPA eco-system (Data processing, sharing, storing and learning)

• Users particular lack of trust for one feature: shopping

• Identify SPA threats

• Discuss the coping strategies users implement to deal with threats

• We present design implications for better security and privacy mechanisms for SPAs
Methodology

- We conducted semi-structured interviews with current SPA users until saturation was reached.
- Recruitment through Prolific and internally at KCL
- We conducted 5 pre-interviews to refine the script (not used during the analysis)
- We further interviewed 17 Amazon Echo and Google Home users
We analysed data following grounded theory method. 2 researchers - iterative coding [initial coding, Axial coding, selective coding] Identifying patterns and relationships between the codes.
Usage Scenarios

- **Built-in Skills**
  - Managing other smart home devices
- **Third-Party Skills**
  - Shopping
Findings

- Users setup experience
- What are users perceptions regarding SPA eco-system
- Users reasons for not trusting shopping
- SPA threats and coping strategies
SPA setup

Users used an existing personal account to setup their SPA.

SPA has access to their personal information such as calendar, address, bank details etc.

Only 2 out of 10 Amazon users reported completing voice recognition setup while all Google Home users have completed this.
Perceptions of SPA eco-system

Overall SPA users have incomplete mental models of their SPA eco-system.

- Data limited to the SPA provider only [data processing, data storing and data sharing].
- They perceive that their SPA does everything without considering the full eco-system.
- SPA is capable to learn personal information about users such as their usage patterns, routines.
Perceptions of SPA eco-system: Processing

Data processed locally in the device

1. Built-in Skills: Locally in the smart speaker
2. Third-party skills: No mention to Skill developers/providers
3. Smart devices: SPA talks directly to smart devices
4. Shopping: participants thought of it as normal online purchases
Perceptions of SPA eco-system: Storage

- Data stored includes, voice recordings, requests and history logs and shopping.

1. Built-in Skills: Mixed response (stored locally or cloud)
2. Third-party skills: No mention to Skill developers/providers
3. Smart devices: No mention to smart home providers
4. Shopping: Only mention shopping history stored but do not mention where
Perceptions of SPA eco-system: Sharing

Participants mental models about data sharing with other third-parties are influenced by stories of data misuse in other domains.

Data Sharing..... P3 “so data brokers they would try and influence users purchasing decisions”

No participant using third-party skills (uber) or smart devices (Philipps bulbs) mentioned data being accessible by them (Uber of Phillips), let alone with whom they might share the data they gather.
Perceptions of SPA eco-system: Learning

• Users describe SPAs as a “small brain” and having a memory with AI

• Capable of learning things about the user i.e. shopping habits, routines, favourite music etc.

• SPA use what they learn about the user: serve them well, recommend things, tailor adds.

• Overall users have a mixed attitude towards learning.
  • Positive as it could simplify their life e.g. morning routines, favourite music, news updates
  • Negative e.g. being scary and sinister, not pleasant for sensitive things like health symptoms.
Shopping concerns

- Lack of product visibility i.e. buying wrong products
- Insecure connection – including Payment
- People hearing orders and/or code
- Number and Trustworthiness of vendors
Threats Model

Users concerns in using their devices:

- **Threat Agents:** Hackers, government and data brokers.

- **Threat Types:** Unwanted listening, network attacks such as hijacking.
Coping Strategies

- Disable certain features
- Use other devices
- Unable to protect themselves
- Turn off or mute device
Implications/ Future Work

- Better awareness and transparency mechanisms for SPAs

- Usable Control Mechanisms for SPA:
  - Personalized intelligent mechanisms
  - Voice recognition
Shopping

To increase users trust in shopping:

• Provide more information about the products and vendors
• Verbal information and exploring other modalities
• Voice recognition as initial setup for Amazon Echo to avoid repeating voice code when purchasing
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