



Cloning Credit Cards: A combined pre-play and downgrade attack on EMV Contactless

Michael Roland

13 August 2013 • USENIX WOOT'13 • Washington, D.C., USA

Outline

- Introduction
 - ▶ EMV Contactless
 - ▶ MasterCard PayPass
- Pre-play and Downgrade Attack
 - ▶ How it works
 - ▶ Implementation
 - ▶ Results & Improvements
 - ▶ Workarounds
- Demo
- Conclusion

EMV Contactless

- Standard for credit/debit cards with contactless interface
- Based on ISO 14443
 - ▶ Inductive coupling
 - ▶ 13.56 MHz
 - ▶ Compatible to NFC
- Combines different payment systems
 - ▶ AmEx ExpressPay: Kernel 4
 - ▶ JCB J/Speedy: Kernel 1 & 5*
 - ▶ MasterCard PayPass: Kernel 2
 - ▶ Visa payWave: Kernel 1 & 3



*) since version 2.3, March 2013

Kernel 2: MasterCard PayPass

- 2 modes
 - ▶ EMV mode
 - ▶ Mag-Stripe mode
- EMV mode
 - ▶ Secure chip uses EMV protocol over contactless (“Chip & PIN”)
- Mag-Stripe mode
 - ▶ Secure chip emulates magnetic stripe system
 - Compatibility mode to magnetic stripe back-end systems
- Support in contactless cards and terminals
 - ▶ Mag-Stripe mode: mandatory
 - ▶ EMV mode: optional (Europe/SEPA: mandatory)

Kernel 2: EMV Mode

- Card contains
 - ▶ Static card data (e.g. account number, expiry date, etc.)
 - ▶ Issuer's digital signature over static data
 - ▶ Public keys of card and issuer
 - ▶ Secret key of card for digital signature
- Transaction
 - ▶ Terminal reads card data
 - ▶ Terminal authenticates card data
 - using issuer's digital signature
 - ▶ Card authenticates payment transaction
 - by generating digital signature over transaction data (amount, date, etc.)

Kernel 2: Mag-Stripe Mode

- Card contains
 - ▶ Static card data (e.g. account number, expiry date, etc.)
 - Format comparable to that on magnetic stripe
 - ▶ Secret key for generating dynamic card verification codes
- Transaction
 - ▶ Terminal reads card data
 - ▶ Terminal sends unpredictable number (UN) to card
 - ▶ Card generates dynamic card verification code (CVC3) for UN
 - Authenticates card (but not the contents of a transaction)
 - Can be verified by card issuer during online authorization
- Main differences to EMV mode
 - ▶ No offline authentication of static card data
 - ▶ No authentication of payment transaction data

Goal of our Attack

- Skimming of contactless credit cards
 - ▶ We want to create a clone of a credit card
 - ▶ We want to use this clone to pay at POS terminals
- Target of our attack: Kernel 2's Mag-Stripe mode
 - ▶ Supported by all cards and terminals
 - ▶ Most data is static and can be skimmed
 - ▶ Terminal cannot check integrity of static data (no signature, etc.)
 - ▶ Problem: Dynamic card verification code (CVC3)
 - Used as a proof that terminal communicates with original card
 - **Existing attacks simply skip CVC3** and use skimmed data with merchants that do not require a CVC (e.g. Amazon)

- CVC3 = function(unpredictable number,
transaction counter,
secret card key/card data)
 - ▶ Secret card key:
 - Securely stored on card and cannot be skimmed
 - Protects against generation of CVC3s without original card
 - ▶ Transaction counter (ATC):
 - Stored on card and incremented for every transaction
 - Protects against re-use of CVC3s (re-play)
 - Protects against out-of-sequence use of CVC3s
 - ▶ Unpredictable number (UN):
 - Challenge generated by terminal
 - Protects against pre-generation of CVC3s (pre-play)

Pre-play Attack despite CVC3

- Pre-play protection relies on unpredictable number
 - ▶ If UN is predictable an attacker can pre-generate CVC3s!
- UN in EMV Contactless Kernel 2:
 - ▶ UN is a 4-byte field
 - 2^{32} (~4.3 billion) possible values
 - Pre-generation unfeasible
 - ▶ *BUT*: field is limited to BCD-encoding
 - 100 million possible values
 - ~43 times less than field limit
 - Pre-generation still unfeasible
 - ▶ *BUT*: number of BCD digits is defined by issuer (**& stored on card**)
 - Typical limit: 2-3 digits
 - 3 digits: 1000 possible values
 - ~4.3 million times less than field limit
 - **Pre-generation is feasible!!! → Pre-play attack**

Limitations

- ATC sequence
 - ▶ Any transaction with a higher ATC invalidates CVC3s for lower ATCs
 - ▶ Attack is only possible until original card is used for another transaction
- Mag-Stripe mode only
 - ▶ Attack only works for Mag-Stripe mode transactions
 - ▶ *BUT*: EMV mode transaction is performed if both, card and terminal, support EMV mode (e.g. in Europe)
 - ▶ Attack does not work if card and terminal support EMV mode

Downgrade Attack

- Limitation: Attack only works if either card or terminal support only Mag-Stripe mode
- Solution: Downgrade to Mag-Stripe mode
 - ▶ Make terminal believe it talks to a Mag-Stripe only card
 - ▶ Support for EMV mode is a flag in the Application Interchange Profile (one of the first data elements that the terminal reads from the card)
 - ▶ AIP has no integrity protection
 - ▶ **Change flag in AIP on card clone → Downgrade attack**

Mounting the Attack

- Collect data for pre-play and downgrade attack
 - ▶ Use app on NFC-enabled mobile phone (e.g. Galaxy Nexus)
 - ▶ Read static card data
 - ▶ Modify EMV mode flag
 - ▶ Pre-generate 1000 CVC3s
 - One code for each possible UN
 - At least one transaction can be performed
 - ▶ Performance
 - ~1000 codes/minute with Galaxy Nexus
 - *BUT*: not every card works well with every phone

- Create clone card
 - ▶ Use applet on Java Card
 - ▶ Applet contains data structures of credit card
 - Filled with static data from original card
 - ▶ Applet contains list of UN + ATC + CVC3 sets
 - Filled with pre-played CVC3s
 - Clone returns first set that matches given UN



Results

- Test
 - ▶ Read card data and pre-generate CVC3s using Galaxy Nexus
 - ▶ Copy data to clone card
 - ▶ Pay with clone card at POS
- Performed test using
 - ▶ 3 credit cards (from 2 different issuers)
 - ▶ 3 different terminals (all from same acquirer)
- **Payments were approved in all cases**

-C-U-S-T-O-M-E-R-
-R-E-C-E-I-P-T-



Terminal ID [REDACTED] 83
TA No. 002056 RNo 0577

Card payment
MasterCard

EUR 0,01

PAN #####7993
EMV AID A000000041010
VU no 158 [REDACTED]
AIDPara 0100000002
Permission no. 391976
Date 23.07.13 15:15 Time

Approved

=====
AS-Proc-Code = 00 914
00
Capt.-Ref. = 0064
AID59: 781878
=====

PLEASE KEEP RECEIPT

Improvements

- Further reduce number of digits of UN
 - ▶ Number of digits is stored on card
 - ▶ Can be modified in clone card
 - ▶ Result: Faster pre-generation of CVC3s
 - ▶ *BUT*: Can be detected by issuer
 - Number of digits is sent to issuer during Mag-Stripe online authorization
 - 1 of 2 tested issuers detects **& rejects** such transactions

- Abuse terminal-specific weaknesses
 - ▶ Communication with 1 of 3 tested terminals can be forced to restart
 - Even after terminal sent unpredictable number to card
 - Upon restart terminal uses new unpredictable number
 - Works up to 6 times for one transaction
 - ▶ Clone card can restart transaction if no CVC3 is available for a given UN
 - Clone card can choose between 6 UNs
 - Card does not need to know a CVC3 for every UN
 - ▶ Result: Faster pre-generation of CVC3s

Workarounds

- Mag-Stripe mode vs. EMV mode
 - ▶ Issuer receives information if terminal supports EMV mode
 - ▶ Issuer receives information if transaction was performed using EMV mode or Mag-Stripe mode
 - ▶ Issuer knows if card supports EMV mode
 - ▶ Issuer **can detect** downgrade-case where EMV mode card is used at EMV mode terminal in Mag-Stripe mode
 - ▶ Our results show that issuers do **not** currently perform such checks

Workarounds (cont'd)

- Reduction of number of digits of UN
 - ▶ Number of digits used in transaction is sent to issuer
 - ▶ Issuer **can detect** if number of digits was tampered with
 - ▶ Our results show that some issuers have such checks in place
- Maximizing number of digits of UN
 - ▶ Adding one digit increases pre-generation time by factor 10
 - ▶ 4 digits: already 10 minutes → Pre-play infeasible!
 - ▶ Number of digits limited by Mag-Stripe back-end
 - ▶ Issuers should try to maximize size of UN

Video



<http://youtu.be/VIawxUs1ZFo>

Conclusion

- Successful pre-play attack against Mag-Stripe mode
- Extended attack to EMV mode cards by downgrading to Mag-Stripe mode
- Protocols already contain countermeasures
- Many countermeasures are not implemented by issuers
- Reported our finding to MasterCard
 - ▶ Acknowledged vulnerabilities
 - ▶ Pointed out that their protocols and rules provide countermeasures
 - ▶ Left to the issuer to implement these measures



Michael Roland

Research Associate, NFC Research Lab Hagenberg
University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria

[michael.roland \(at\) fh-hagenberg.at](mailto:michael.roland@fh-hagenberg.at)

