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N Interacting Bodies (Stars, Molecules)

• At each step
  – Compute force
    • Acting on each body
    • From all other bodies
  – Use forces to move bodies
• Repeat
- Internal node contains center of mass for subtree
- Center of mass approximation for distant bodies
- Changes $N^2$ algorithm into $N \log N$ algorithm
Barnes-Hut Algorithm

1) Build space subdivision tree
2) Use tree to compute forces acting on each body
3) Move bodies
4) Repeat
1) Drop bodies into the tree from the top
2) Bodies percolate down the tree
3) Insert each body in correct position
4) Add internal nodes as necessary
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Parallel Tree Construction Algorithm, Version 1 – No Synchronization, Just Insert Bodies in Parallel

1) Drop bodies into the tree from the top
2) Bodies percolate down the tree
3) Insert each body in correct position
4) Add internal nodes as necessary

Data Races
Corruption
Crash!
Standard Solution

• Add synchronization
  – Complicate program
  – Add synchronization overhead
  – Add space overhead
  – Maybe contention, even deadlock too
• We aren’t going to do this
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Potential Outcome: Drop
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Dropped: ●●
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Parallel Tree Construction Algorithm, Version 2 – No Synchronization, No Crash!
Effect of Unsynchronized Construction

• Always produces a tree that force computation calculation can use
• But tree may not contain all bodies
  – Forces computed as if dropped bodies don’t exist
  – Get an approximate force computation
  – But force computation is already approximate because of center of mass approximation
• Preserves integrity
• May affect accuracy
Parallel Tree Construction Options

• Unsynchronized
  – Data races
  – Always produces a usable tree, but may drop bodies
    • Preserves integrity of computation
    • May affect accuracy

• Tree Locking (standard approach)
  – No data races, no dropped bodies
  – Lots of synchronization, lots of contention at top of tree

• Update Locking (synchronize updates but not reads)
  – Data races, no dropped bodies
  – Some synchronization, little contention
  – Complex, scary algorithm
Accuracy Evaluation

- Need a comparison point
- Full $N^2$ computation too expensive
- Compare with **hyperaccurate** version
  - Uses a center of mass approximation
  - But goes deeper into the tree before using the center of mass approximation
  - So more accurate
Accuracy Metric

- Start with two corresponding configurations
  - Reference (hyperaccurate)
  - Comparison (tree locking, update locking, unsynchronized)
- Compute sum of distances between corresponding bodies
- Divide sum by distance between corners of bounding box
Accuracy Result

• Accuracy metric between
  – Hyperaccurate version and
  – All other versions
  – All other numbers of processors

• Is **1.02%** (to three significant digits)
Visually
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Accuracy Result in Context

• Difference between hyperaccurate and synchronized version is two orders of magnitude larger than difference between synchronized and unsynchronized versions.

• Changing center of mass approximation has an accuracy effect two orders of magnitude more than removing synchronization.
Performance Evaluation

• Implemented different versions
  – Tree locking
  – Update locking
  – Unsynchronized
  – Evaluated performance of different versions

• Measured speedup over sequential tree construction algorithm
Performance of Parallel Tree Construction Algorithms

- Unsynchronized
- Update Locking
- Tree Locking

Number of Processors vs. Speedup over Sequential Performance of Parallel Tree Construction Algorithms.
Key Technical Concepts and Results

• Safe approximate unsynchronized data structures
  – Look just like standard sequential data structures
  – Give programmer sense of security and comfort
  – Building blocks in paper

• Accuracy evaluation methodology
  – To evaluate effect of unsynchronized data structures
  – Adjust accepted accuracy knobs
  – Compare with effect of removing synchronization

• Evidence shows programs are oversynchronized
Bigger Picture

- Field has traditionally aspired to perfection
- But perfection is increasingly unavailable
  - Huge systems, huge data sets
  - Something always broken
- Software is inherently resilient and malleable
- Enables more mature and productive approaches
- Goal is acceptability, not perfection
  - Integrity (program does not crash)
  - Accuracy (accurate enough, often enough)
  - End-to-end perspective
- Opens up new, counterintuitive possibilities