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Optimization Algorithms

\[
\arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(f(x_i), y_i)
\]
Non-linearity

ReLU
\[ \max \left( \sum x_i w_i, 0 \right) \]
Stack them together!
DEEP LEARNING

ResNet18
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MODEL TRAINING

\[ w^{(k+1)} = w^{(k)} - \alpha_k \nabla f(w^{(k)}) \]

Initialize w
For many iterations:
   Compute Gradient
   Update model
End

Stochastic Gradient Descent
Gradient using backprop
Compute Intensive!
MULTIPLE PREDICTIONS

Data → Model → Prediction → Environment

Reward

Reinforcement Learning

Robotics
Control Systems
Self Driving Cars

Train with iterative simulations
MACHINE LEARNING TAKEAWAYS

- Iterative algorithms
- Compute Intensive
- Known operators
RECENT ML SYSTEMS

Programming Frameworks
- Naiad [SOSP 2013]
- PowerGraph [OSDI 2012]
- Tensorflow [OSDI 2016]

Scalable Training
- Parameter Server [OSDI 2014]
- Project Adam [OSDI 2014]

Bug Hunting
- DeepXplore [SOSP 2017]
MACHINE LEARNING STACK

Goals, Challenges
- Heterogeneous hardware
- Low latency (Avg, P99)
- Heterogeneous jobs
- Cluster Utilization

TensorFlow
Gym
Resource Manager
GPU
FPGA
TPU
QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER

What is the target machine learning workload?
- Data or model types
- Training vs. Inference

What is different when running ML workloads?
- Compared to SQL queries
- Compared to web applications
Ray: A Distributed Framework for Emerging AI Applications
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Abstract

The next generation of AI applications will continuously interact with the environment and learn from these interactions. These applications impose new and demanding systems requirements, both in terms of performance and flexibility. In this paper, we consider these requirements and present Ray—a distributed system to address them. Ray implements a unified interface that can express both task-parallel and actor-based computations, supported by a single dynamic execution engine. To meet the performance requirements, Ray employs a distributed scheduler and a distributed and fault-tolerant store to manage the system’s control state. In our experiments, we demonstrate scaling beyond 1.8 million tasks per second and better performance than existing specialized systems for several challenging reinforcement learning applications.

and their use in prediction. These frameworks often leverage specialized hardware (e.g., GPUs and TPUs), with the goal of reducing training time in a batch setting. Examples include TensorFlow [7], MXNet [18], and PyTorch [46].

The promise of AI is, however, far broader than classical supervised learning. Emerging AI applications must increasingly operate in dynamic environments, react to changes in the environment, and take sequences of actions to accomplish long-term goals [8, 43]. They must aim not only to exploit the data gathered, but also to explore the space of possible actions. These broader requirements are naturally framed within the paradigm of reinforcement learning (RL). RL deals with learning to operate continuously within an uncertain environment based on delayed and limited feedback [56]. RL-based systems have already yielded remarkable results, such as Google’s AlphaGo beating a human world champion [54], and are beginning to find their way into dialogue systems, UAVs [42], and robotic manipulation [25, 60].

1 Introduction

Framework for Reinforcement Learning

Key Challenges:
Distributed training
Heterogeneous tasks
Compiler for Deep Learning Models

Key Challenges:
Diverse hardware
Many Optimizations
Gandiva: Introspective Cluster Scheduling for Deep Learning
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Abstract

We introduce Gandiva, a new cluster scheduling framework that utilizes domain-specific knowledge to improve latency and efficiency of training deep learning models in a GPU cluster.

One key characteristic of deep learning is feedback-driven exploration, where a user often runs a set of jobs (or a multi-job) to achieve the best result for a specific mission and uses early feedback on accuracy to dynamically prioritize or kill a subset of jobs: simultaneous early feedback on the entire multi-job is critical. A second characteristic is the heterogeneity of deep learning jobs in terms of resource usage, making it hard to achieve best-ff a priori. Gandiva addresses these two challenges by exploiting a third key characteristic of deep learning: intra-job predictability, as they perform numerous repetitive iterations called mini-batch iterations. Gandiva exploits intra-job predictability to time-slice GPUs efficiently across multiple jobs, thereby delivering low-latency. This predictability is also used for introspecting job performance and dynamically migrating jobs to better-fit GPUs, thereby improving cluster efficiency.

An increasingly popular computing trend over the last few years is deep learning [32]; it has already had significant impact; e.g., on widely-used personal products for voice and image recognition, and has significant potential to impact businesses. Hence, it is likely to be a vital and growing workload, especially in cloud data centers.

However, deep learning is compute-intensive and hence heavily reliant on powerful but expensive GPUs; a GPU VM in the cloud costs nearly 10x that of a regular VM. Cloud operators and large companies that manage clusters of tens of thousands of GPUs rely on cluster schedulers to ensure efficient utilization of the GPUs.

Despite the importance of efficient scheduling of deep learning training (DLT) jobs, the common practice today [12, 28] is to use a traditional cluster scheduler, such as Kubernetes [14] or YARN [50], designed for handling big-data jobs such as MapReduce [17]; a DLT job is treated simply as yet another big-data job that is allocated a set of GPUs at job startup and holds exclusive access to its GPUs until completion.

In this paper, we present Gandiva, a new scheduling framework that demonstrates that a significant increase in efficiency can be achieved.

Cluster Scheduler for Deep Learning Jobs

Key Challenges:
Prioritize Accuracy
Heterogeneous Jobs
System for Inference

Key Challenges: Increasing Utilization
P99 Latency

Abstract

Machine Learning models are often composed of pipelines of transformations. While this design allows to efficiently execute single model components at training-time, prediction serving has different requirements such as low latency, high throughput and graceful performance degradation under heavy load. Current prediction serving systems consider models as black boxes, whereby prediction-time-specific optimizations are ignored in favor of ease of deployment. In this paper, we present PRETZEL, a prediction serving system introducing a novel white box architecture enabling both end-to-end and multi-model optimizations. Using production-like model pipelines, our experiments show that PRETZEL is able to introduce performance improvements over different dimensions; compared to state-of-the-art approaches PRETZEL is on average able to reduce 99th percentile latency by $5.5 \times$ while reducing memory footprint by $25 \times$, and increasing throughput by $4.7 \times$.  

Figure 1: A Sentiment Analysis (SA) pipeline consisting of operators for featurization (ellipses), followed by a ML model (diamond). Tokenizer extracts tokens (e.g., words) from the input string. Char and Word Ngrams featurize input tokens by extracting n-grams. Concat generates a unique feature vector which is then scored by a Logistic Regression predictor. This is a simplification: the actual DAG contains about 12 operators.
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CONCLUSION

Machine learning workloads present new systems challenges!

For every paper, consider
- Workload properties
- ML goals / targets
- What is different from SQL/web apps