Kubernetes: What’s New

LISA’15

Tim Hockin <thockin@google.com>
Senior Staff Software Engineer
@thockin
This is “Kubernetes 201”

If you’re lost, I’m happy to answer questions later or at the BoF tonight
Obligatory Background
Everything at Google runs in containers:

• Gmail, Web Search, Maps, ...
• MapReduce, batch, ...
• GFS, Colossus, ...
• Even Google’s Cloud Platform: VMs run in containers!
Everything at Google runs in containers:

- Gmail, Web Search, Maps, ...
- MapReduce, batch, ...
- GFS, Colossus, ...
- Even Google’s Cloud Platform: VMs run in containers!

We launch billions of containers every week
But it’s all so different!

- Deployment
- Management, monitoring
- Isolation (very complicated!)
- Updates
- Discovery
- Scaling, replication, sets

A **fundamentally different** way of managing applications requires different tooling and abstractions
Kubernetes

Greek for “Helmsman”; also the root of the words “governor” and “cybernetic”

- Runs and manages containers
- Inspired and informed by Google’s experiences and internal systems
- Supports multiple cloud and bare-metal environments
- Supports multiple container runtimes
- 100% Open source, written in Go

Manage applications, not machines
The 10000 foot view

- Users
- Master
- Nodes

- Kubelet
- API
- CLI
- UI

- Apiserver
- Etcd
- Scheduler
- Controllers
All you really care about
Container clusters: A story in two parts
Container clusters: A story in two parts

1. Setting up the cluster
   • Choose a cloud: GCE, AWS, Azure, Rackspace, on-premises, ...
   • Choose a node OS: CoreOS, Atomic, RHEL, Debian, CentOS, Ubuntu, ...
   • Provision machines: Boot VMs, install and run kube components, ...
   • Configure networking: IP ranges for Pods, Services, SDN, ...
   • Start cluster services: DNS, logging, monitoring, ...
   • Manage nodes: kernel upgrades, OS updates, hardware failures...

Not the easy or fun part, but unavoidable

This is where things like Google Container Engine (GKE) really help
2. Using the cluster
   • Run Pods & Containers
   • Replication controllers
   • Services
   • Volumes

This is the fun part!

A distinct set of problems from cluster setup and management

Don’t make developers deal with cluster administration!

Accelerate development by focusing on the applications, not the cluster
Networking
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Kubernetes networking

IPs are **routable**
- vs docker default private IP

Pods can reach each other without NAT
- even across nodes

**No brokering** of port numbers
- too complex, why bother?

**This is a fundamental requirement**
- can be L3 routed
- can be underlayed (cloud)
- can be overlayed (SDN)
Kubernetes networking
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Diagram showing network connectivity and IP addresses.
Pods
Pods

**Small group** of containers & volumes

**Tightly** coupled

The atom of scheduling & placement

Shared namespace
  - share IP address & localhost
  - share IPC, etc.

Managed lifecycle
  - bound to a node, restart in place
  - can die, cannot be reborn with same ID

**Example: data puller & web server**
Arbitrary metadata
Attached to any API object
Generally represent **identity**

Queryable by **selectors**
  • think SQL ‘select ... where ...’

The **only** grouping mechanism
  • pods under a ReplicationController
  • pods in a Service
  • capabilities of a node (constraints)
ReplicationControllers
Replication Controllers

A simple control loop
Runs out-of-process wrt API server
Has 1 job: ensure N copies of a pod
  • if too few, start some
  • if too many, kill some
  • grouped by a selector

Cleanly layered on top of the core
  • all access is by public APIs

Replicated pods are fungible
  • No implied order or identity

ReplicationController
- name = “my-rc”
- selector = {“App”: “MyApp”}
- podTemplate = { ... }
- replicas = 4

API Server

How many?
3

Start 1 more
OK

How many?
4
Services
A group of pods that **work together**
- grouped by a selector

Defines access policy
- “load balanced” or “headless”

Gets a stable **virtual IP** and port
- sometimes called the service *portal*
- also a DNS name

VIP is managed by *kube-proxy*
- watches all services
- updates iptables when backends change

Hides complexity - ideal for non-native apps
iptables kube-proxy
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Ingress (L7)

Services are assumed L3/L4

Lots of apps want HTTP/HTTPS

Ingress maps incoming traffic to backend services
  • by HTTP host headers
  • by HTTP URL paths

HAProxy and GCE implementations

No SSL yet

Status: BETA in Kubernetes v1.1
Namespaces
Namespaces

**Problem:** I have too much stuff!
- name collisions in the API
- poor isolation between users
- don’t want to expose things like Secrets

**Solution:** Slice up the cluster
- create new Namespaces as needed
  - per-user, per-app, per-department, etc.
- part of the API - NOT private machines
- most API objects are namespaced
  - part of the REST URL path
- Namespaces are just another API object
- One-step cleanup - delete the Namespace
- Obvious hook for policy enforcement (e.g. quota)
Resource Isolation
Resource Isolation

Principles:
- Apps **must not** be able to affect each other’s perf
  - if so it is an **isolation failure**
- Repeated runs of the same app should see ~equal behavior
- QoS levels drives resource decisions in (soft) real-time
- Correct in all cases, optimal in some
  - reduce unreliable components
- SLOs are the lingua franca
Pros:
• Sharing - users don’t worry about interference (aka the noisy neighbor problem)
• Predictable - allows us to offer strong SLAs to apps

Cons:
• Stranding - arbitrary slices mean some resources get lost
• Confusing - how do I know how much I need?
  • analog: what size VM should I use?
  • smart auto-scaling is needed!
• Expensive - you pay for certainty

In reality this is a multi-dimensional bin-packing problem: CPU, memory, disk space, IO bandwidth, network bandwidth, ...
Requests and Limits

Request:
• how much of a resource you are asking to use, with a strong guarantee of availability
  • CPU (seconds/second)
  • RAM (bytes)
• scheduler will not over-commit requests

Limit:
• max amount of a resource you can access

Repercussions:
• Usage > Request: resources might be available
• Usage > Limit: throttled or killed
Quality of Service

Defined in terms of Request and Limit

**Guaranteed**: highest protection
- request > 0 && limit == request

**Burstable**: medium protection
- request > 0 && limit > request

**Best Effort**: lowest protection
- request == 0

What does “protection” mean?
- OOM score
- CPU scheduling
Quota and Limits
ResourceQuota

Admission control: apply limits in **aggregate**

**Per-namespace**: ensure no user/app/department abuses the cluster

Reminiscent of disk quota by design

Applies to each type of resource
- CPU and memory for now

Disallows pods without resources
Admission control: limit the limits
• min and max
• ratio of limit/request

Default values for unspecified limits

Per-namespace

Together with ResourceQuota gives cluster admins powerful tools
Network Plugins
Network Plugins

Introduced in Kubernetes v1.0
• VERY experimental

Uses **CNI** (CoreOS) in v1.1
• Simple exec interface
• Not using Docker libnetwork
  • but can defer to Docker for networking

Cluster admins can customize their installs
• DHCP, MACVLAN, Flannel, custom
Cluster Auto-Scaling
Cluster Scaling

Add nodes when needed
- e.g. CPU usage too high
- nodes self-register with API server

Remove nodes when not needed
- e.g. CPU usage too low

Status: Works on GCE, need other implementations
DaemonSets
DaemonSets

Problem: how to run a Pod on every node
• or a subset of nodes

Similar to ReplicationController
• principle: do one thing, don’t overload

“Which nodes?” is a selector

Use familiar tools and patterns

Status: **ALPHA** in Kubernetes v1.1
PersistentVolumes
PersistentVolumes

A higher-level abstraction
• insulation from any one cloud environment

Admin provisions them, users **claim** them

Independent lifetime and fate

Can be handed-off between pods and lives until user is done with it

Dynamically “scheduled” and managed, like nodes and pods
PersistentVolumes
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Secrets
Secrets

Problem: how to grant a pod access to a secured *something*?
- don’t put secrets in the container image!

**12-factor** says: config comes from the environment
- Kubernetes is the environment

Manage secrets via the Kubernetes API

Inject them as virtual volumes into Pods
- late-binding
- tmpfs - never touches disk
Cluster Add-Ons
Monitoring

Run cAdvisor on each node (in kubelet)
  • gather stats from all containers
  • export via REST

Run Heapster as a pod in the cluster
  • just another pod, no special access
  • aggregate stats

Run Influx and Grafana in the cluster
  • more pods
  • alternately: store in Google Cloud Monitoring

Or plug in your own!
  • e.g. Google Cloud Monitoring
Logging

Run fluentd as a pod on each node
• gather logs from all containers
• export to elasticsearch

Run Elasticsearch as a pod in the cluster
• just another pod, no special access
• aggregate logs

Run Kibana in the cluster
• yet another pod
• alternately: store in Google Cloud Logging

Or plug in your own!
• e.g. Google Cloud Logging
Run SkyDNS as a pod in the cluster
  • kube2sky bridges Kubernetes API -> SkyDNS
  • Tell kubelets about it (static service IP)

Strictly optional, but practically required
  • LOTS of things depend on it
  • Probably will become more integrated

Or plug in your own!
New and coming soon

- Jobs (run-to-completion)
- Cron (scheduled jobs)
- Privileged containers
- Downward API
- Interactive containers
- Bandwidth shaping
- Simpler deployments
- Third-party API objects
- HA master
- Easier to run as non-root
- Scalability++
- Performance++
- Easier installation & setup

- Config injection
- Cluster federation
- More volume types
- Private Docker registry
- External DNS integration
- Volume auto-provisioning
- Node fencing
- DIY Cloud Provider plugins
- Better auth{n,z}
- Network policy
- Big data integrations
- Better affinity policies
- Device scheduling (e.g. GPUs)
Kubernetes status & plans

Open sourced in June, 2014
• v1.0 in July, 2015
• v1.1 in November, 2015

Google Container Engine (GKE)
• hosted Kubernetes - don’t think about cluster setup
• GA in August, 2015

PaaSes:
• RedHat OpenShift, Deis, Stratos

Distros:
• CoreOS Tectonic, Mirantis Murano (OpenStack), RedHat Atomic, Mesos

Driving towards a v1.2 release in O(months)
The Goal: Shake things up

Containers are a new way of working

Requires new concepts and new tools

Google has a lot of experience...

...but we are listening to the users

Workload portability is important!
Kubernetes is **Open**

- open community
- open design
- open source
- open to ideas

[http://kubernetes.io](http://kubernetes.io)
[https://github.com/kubernetes/kubernetes](https://github.com/kubernetes/kubernetes)
slack: kubernetes
twitter: @kubernetesio