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Outlook

• What are the main activities of ntop.org?
• ntop’s view on network monitoring.
• From ntop to ntopng.
• ntopng architecture and design.
• ntopng as a flow collector
• Exploring system activities using ntopng
• Using ntopng.
• Advanced monitoring with ntopng.
• Future roadmap items.
About ntop.org [1/2]

- ntop develops of open source network traffic monitoring applications.
- ntop (circa 1998) is the first app we released and it is a web-based network monitoring application.
- Today our products range from traffic monitoring, high-speed packet processing, deep-packet inspection, and IDS/IPS acceleration (snort and suricata).
About ntop.org [2/2]

• Our software is powering many commercial products...
ntop Goals

• Provide better, yet price effective, traffic monitoring solution by enabling users to have increased traffic visibility.

• Go beyond standard metrics and increase traffic visibility by analysing key protocols in detail.

• Provide users comprehensive and accurate traffic reports able to offer at a fraction of price what many commercial products do together.

• Promote open-source software, while protecting selected IPRs.
ntop’s Approach to Traffic Monitoring

• Ability to capture, process and (optionally) transmit traffic at line rate, any packet size.
• Leverage on modern multi-core/NUMA architectures in order to promote scalability.
• Use commodity hardware for producing affordable, long-living (no vendor lock), scalable (use new hardware by the time it is becoming available) monitoring solutions.
• Use open-source to spread the software, and let the community test it on unchartered places.
Some History

• In 1998, the original ntop has been created.
• It was a C-based app embedding a web server able to capture traffic and analyse it.
• Contrary to many tools available at that time, ntop used a web GUI to report traffic activities.
• It is available for Unix and Windows under GPL.
ntop Architecture

HTTP/HTTPS ↔ RRD

Report Engine | Plugins
---|---
Packet Analyser | Traffic Rules

Packet Sniffer

Cisco NetFlow
InMon sFlow

SNMP
Why was ntop obsolete?

• Its original LAN-oriented design prevented ntop from handling more than a few hundred Mbit.
• The GUI was an old (no fancy HTML 5) monolithic piece written in C so changing/extending a page required a programmer.
• ntop could not be used as web-less monitoring engine to be integrated with other apps.
• Many components were designed in 1998, and it was time to start over (spaghetti code).
ntopng Design Goals

• Clean separation between the monitoring engine and the reporting facilities.
• Robust, crash-free engine (ntop was not really so).
• Platform scriptability for enabling extensions or changes at runtime without restart.
• Realtime: most monitoring tools aggregate data (5 mins usually) and present it when it’s too late.
• Many new features including HTML 5-based dynamic GUI, categorisation, DPI.
ntopng Architecture

• Three different and self-contained components, communicating with clean API calls.
ntopng Monitoring Engine

• Coded in C++ and based the concept of flow (set of packets with the same 6-tuple).
• Flows are inspected with a home-grown DPI-library named nDPI aiming to discover the “real” application protocol (no ports are used).
• Information is clustered per:
  • (Capture) Network Device
  • Flow
  • Host
  • High-level Aggregations
Local vs Remote Hosts [1/2]

• ntopng keeps information in memory at different level of accuracy in order to save resources for hosts that are not “too relevant”.

• For this reason at startup hosts are divided in:
  ◦ Local hosts
    The local host where ntopng is running as well the hosts belonging to some “privileged” IPv4/v6 networks. These hosts are very relevant and thus ntopng keep full statistics.
  ◦ Remote hosts
    Non-local hosts for which we keep a minimum level of detail.
Local vs Remote Hosts [2/2]

• For local hosts (unless disabled via preferences) are kept all L7 protocol statistics, as well basic statistics (e.g. bytes/packets in/out).
• No persistent statistics are saved on disk.
• A system host is the host where ntopng is running and it is automatically considered local as well the networks of its ethernet interfaces.

| ASN        | 2597 [Registry of ccTLD it - IIT-CNR] |
| Name       | pc-deri.nic.it [Local System] |
Information Lifecycle

- ntopng keeps in memory live information such as flows and hosts statistics.
- As the memory cannot be infinite, periodically non-recent information is harvested.
- Users can specify preferences for data purge:

![Data Purge Settings](image)
Packet Processing Journey

1. Packet capture: PF_RING (Linux) or libpcap.
2. Packet decoding: no IP traffic is accounted.
3. IPv4/v6 Traffic only:
   1. Map the packet to a 6-tuple flow and increment stats.
   2. Identify source/destination hosts and increment stats.
   3. Use nDPI to identify the flow application protocol
      1. UDP flows are identified in no more than 2 packets.
      2. TCP Flows can be identified in up to 15 packets in total, otherwise the flow is marked as “Unknown”.
4. Move to the next packet.
• In 2004 we realised the Linux kernel was not efficient enough to fulfil our packet capture requirements and thus we have written an in-kernel circular buffer named PF_RING.
PF_RING [2/2]

• It creates a straight path for incoming packets accessed from user-space applications with memory mapping.
• No need to use custom network cards: any card is supported.
• Transparent to applications: legacy applications need to be recompiled in order to use it (pcap-over-PF_RING).
• Developers familiar with network applications can immediately take advantage of it without having to learn new APIs.
• Acceleration support for many popular open-source applications including Wireshark, Suricata and Snort.
Moving towards 10 Gbit and above [1/2]

- The original PF_RING is a good solution up to 3/5 Gbit but not above as the cost of packet copy into the ring is overkilling.
- PF_RING ZC (Zero Copy) is an extension that allows packets to received/transmitted in zero copy similar to what FPGA-accelerated cards (e.g. Napatech) do in hardware.
Moving towards 10 Gbit and above [2/2]

• In ZC a packet is put by the ingress NIC into a shared memory buffer, and it hop across applications (and VMs) by exchanging the buffer pointer (packets don’t move).
• Thanks to this solution it is possible to create arbitrary packet processing topologies at multi-10 Gbit line rate using commodity hardware x86 servers and adapters (ZC natively supports Intel ethernet adapters).
PF_RING ZC Architecture

Kernel

- ring buffer (packet copy)
- pf_ring.ko
- libpfring
- pf_ring mod
- ZC mod
- Stack mod
- sysdig mod
- Napatech mod
- Napatech lib

Drivers

- Standard / PF_RING-aware Drivers
- ZC Drivers

NAPI

- Standard NIC
- ZC/DNA Intel NIC
- Napatech Card

DMA

FPGA

Network Stack

sysdig-probe.ko
PF_RING ZC [1/2]

- The idea behind ZC is to create a playground for processing information (and in particular network packets) in zero copy.
- In order to implements this, ZC comes with 0-copy user-space drivers (for 1 and 10G Intel NICs) that allow packets to be read in 0-copy.
- 1-copy packets (e.g. received on non-Intel NICs or WiFi/Bluetooth devices) can be injected in ZC and from that time onwards, be used in 0-copy.
PF_RING ZC [2/2]

- PF_RING ZC has a clean and simple API that hides many low-level configuration details.
- Support of legacy pcap-based applications.
- ZC has simple components: queue and worker.
- KVM support: ability to setup Inter-VM communication.
- Native PF_RING ZC support in many open-source applications such as Snort, Suricata, Bro, Wireshark.
- Ability to operate on top of sysdig.org for dispatching system events to PF_RING applications.
PF_RING ZC Network Topologies [1/2]

Use Case:
Load balancing across ntopng applications.
PF_RING ZC Network Topologies [2/2]

Use Case:
Application pipeline or run multiple apps (e.g. ntopng) in VMs to insulate them.
PF_RING (ZC) and ntopng

• Using PF_RING (ZC) with ntopng has several benefits:
  ◦ ntopng can scale to 10 Gbit and above by spawning several ntopng instances each bound to a (few) core(s).
  ◦ It is possible to send the same packet to multiple apps. For instance it is possible to send the same packet to ntopng (for accounting purposes) and n2disk (ntop’s application for dumping packet-to-disk at multi-10G) and/or and IDS (e.g. Suricata and snort).
Traffic Balancing with PF_RING ZC

Ingress Packet Aggregation

Balancing

0-Copy Packet Fanout

Traffic Fan-Out Across Applications
Traffic Balancing Across Applications
The need for DPI in Monitoring [2/2]

• DPI (Deep Packet Inspection) is a technique for inspecting the packet payload for the purpose of extracting metadata (e.g. protocol).

• There are many DPI toolkits available but they are not what we looked for as:
  ◦ They are proprietary (you need to sign an NDA to use them), and costly for both purchase and maintenance.
  ◦ Adding a new protocol requires vendor support (i.e. it has a high cost and might need time until the vendor supports it) = you’re locked-in.

• On a nutshell DPI is a requirement but the market does not offer an alternative for open-source.
Say hello to nDPI

- ntop has decided to develop its own GPL DPI toolkit in order to build an open DPI layer for ntop and third party applications.

- Supported protocols (> 180) include:
  - P2P (Skype, BitTorrent)
  - Messaging (Viber, Whatsapp, MSN, The Facebook)
  - Multimedia (YouTube, Last.gm, iTunes)
  - Conferencing (Webex, CitrixOnLine)
  - Streaming (Zattoo, Icecast, Shoutcast, Netflix)
  - Business (VNC, RDP, Citrix, *SQL)
nDPI Overview

• Portable C library (Win and Unix, 32/64 bit).
• Designed for user and kernel space
  ◦ Linux ndpi-netfilter implements L7 kernel filters
• Used by many non-ntop projects (eg. xplico.org) and part of Linux distributions (e.g. Debian).
• Able to operate on both plain ethernet traffic and encapsulated (e.g. GTP, GRE…).
• Ability to specify at runtime custom protocols (port or hostname - dns, http, https -based).
nDPI API

• The nDPI API is pretty simple
  • ndpi_init_detection_module()
    ndpi_exit_detection_module()
    Init/term the nDPI library.
  • ndpi_load_protocols()
    Load custom protocol definitions.
  • ndpi_detection_process_packet()
    Process the packet in nDPI and return the L7 protocol or
    NDPI_UNKNOWN (too early or detection failed).
  • ndpi_guess_protocol()
    Guess a L7 protocols when DPI fails.
nDPI on ntopng

• In ntopng all flows are analysed through nDPI to associate an application protocol to them.
• L7 statistics are available per flow, host, and interface (from which monitoring data is received).
• For network interfaces and local hosts, nDPI statistics are saved persistently to disk (in RRD format).
**nDPI on ntopng: Interface Report [1/2]**

### Protocol Overview

- **27.3% SSL**
- **59.5% HTTP**
- **8.8% IMAPS**
- **4.4% Other**

### Application Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Protocol</th>
<th>Total (Since Startup)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AppleCloud</td>
<td>8.43 KB</td>
<td>0.33 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>4.19 KB</td>
<td>0.17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DropBox</td>
<td>6.15 KB</td>
<td>0.24 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>9.04 KB</td>
<td>0.36 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>1.43 MB</td>
<td>57.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICMP</td>
<td>280 Bytes</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAPS</td>
<td>216.79 KB</td>
<td>8.56 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
nDPI on ntopng: Interface Report [2/2]

Live data scrolling
ntopng and Redis [1/2]

- Redis is an open source key-value in-memory database.
- ntop uses it to cache data such as:
  - Configuration and user preferences information.
  - DNS name resolution (numeric to symbolic).
  - Volatile monitoring data (e.g. hosts JSON representation).
- Some information is persistent (e.g. preferences) and some is volatile: ntopng can tell redis how long a given value must be kept in cache.
ntopng and Redis [2/2]

• Redis is also used as a (persistent) queue for requests towards external applications.
  ◦ If configured (-F command line option), periodically flow status is saved onto a redis queue, requests are packed, and send to a remote BigData system.

• In essence Redis is used by ntopng to store information that might take too much memory (if kept on ntopng memory space), or to pile up list of things that are executed periodically or that require interaction with remote applications that might be slow or temporary unavailable.
Lua-based ntopng Scriptability [1/3]

• A design principle of ntopng has been the clean separation of the GUI from engine (in ntop it was all mixed).
• This means that ntopng can (also) be used (via HTTP) to feed data into third party apps such as Nagios or OpenNMS.
• All data export from the engine happens via Lua.
• Lua methods invoke the ntopng C++ API in order to interact with the monitoring engine.
Lua-based ntopng Scriptability [2/3]

• `/scripts/callback/` scripts are executed periodically to perform specific actions.
• `/scripts/lua/` scripts are executed only by the web GUI.
• Example:
  `http://ntopng:3000/lua/flow_stats.lua`
Lua-based ntopng Scriptability [3/3]

• ntopng defines (in C++) two Lua classes:
  ◦ interface
    • Hook to objects that describe flows and hosts.
    • Access to live monitoring data.
  ◦ ntop
    • General functions used to interact with ntopng configuration.

• Lua objects are usually in “read-only” mode
  ◦ C++ sets their data, Lua reads data (e.g. host.name).
  ◦ Some Lua methods (e.g. interface.restoreHost()) can however modify the information stored in the engine.
ntopng as a NetFlow/sFlow Collector [1/3]

- The “old” ntop included a NetFlow/sFlow collector. Considered the effort required to support all the various NetFlow dialects (e.g. Cisco ASA flows are not “really” flows), in ntopng we have made a different design choice.
ntopng as a NetFlow/sFlow Collector [2/3]

• nProbe (a home-grown NetFlow/sFlow collector/probe) is responsible for collecting/generating flows and convert them to JSON so that ntopng can understand it.
• The communication ntopng <-> nProbe is over ØMQ a simple/fast messaging system that allows the two peers to be decoupled while:
  ◦ Avoiding “fat” communication protocols such as HTTP.
  ◦ Relying on a system that works per message (no per packet) and handles automatic reconnection if necessary.
ntopng as a NetFlow/sFlow Collector [3/3]

Flows are sent in the following format

- `{“8”:”192.12.193.11”,“12”:”192.168.1.92”,“15”:”0.0.0.0”,“10”:0,”14”:0,”2”:5,”1”:”406,”22”:”1412183096,”21”:”1412183096,”7”:”3000,”11”:”55174,”6”:”27,”4”:”6,”5”:0,”16”:”2597,”17”:”0,”9”:0,”13”:”0,”42”:4}`

- Where:
  - “<Element ID>”: <value> (example 8 = IPV4_SRC_ADDR)

- Contrary to what happens in NetFlow/sFlow, ntopng (collector) connects to nProbe (probe) and fetches the emitted flows. Multiple collectors can connect to the same probe. No traffic is created when no collector is attached to the probe.
Flow Collection Setup: an Example

Flow collection/generation (nProbe)

• Probe mode
  nprobe --zmq "tcp://*:5556" -i eth1 -n none

• sFlow/NetFlow collector mode
  nprobe --zmq "tcp://*:5556" -i none -n none --collector-port 2055

Data Collector (ntopng)

• ntopng -i tcp://127.0.0.1:5556
Creating ntopng Clusters [1/3]

• ntopng is not only a flow collector, but it can export flows in the same JSON format used in the received flows.
• This allows complex clusters to be created:
Creating ntopng Clusters [2/3]

• In many companies, there are many satellite offices and a few central aggregation points.
• Using ØMQ (both ntopng and nProbe flows are in the same format) it is possible to create a hierarchy of instances.
• Each node aggregates the traffic for the instances “below” it, so that at each tree layer you have a summarised view of the network activities.
Creating ntopng Clusters [3/3]

Example

• Start the remote nProbe instances as follows
  • [host1] nprobe --zmq “tcp://*:5556” -i ethX
  • [host2] nprobe --zmq “tcp://*:5556” -i ethX
  • [host3] nprobe --zmq “tcp://*:5556” -i ethX
  • [host4] nprobe --zmq “tcp://*:5556” -i ethX

• If you want to merge all nProbe traffic into a single ntopng interface do:

• If you want to keep each nProbe traffic into a separate ntopng interface do:
• Historically on Unix there are many tools for system monitoring.
• Like when we started the development of ntop, all these tools are nice per-se, but are not integrated with the rest of the environment.
• ntopng/nProbe monitor network activities, but have no visibility of the processes that are originating the observed network activities.
How most system management tools work on Linux:

1. `ls/of`
2. `/proc`
System+Network Monitoring [3/3]

• Using ntopng/nProbe you can see the flows that are being exchanged across systems but it is not possible to know more than that.
System+Network Monitoring [3/3]

• It would be desirable to know exactly what is the process originating the traffic observed and what resources the process is using while generating such traffic.

• In essence we would like to see this picture:
Welcome to Sysdig

• Sysdig is a Linux framework developed by Draios Inc for capturing system calls.
• The kernel module intercepts the calls.
• The user-space libs receive and interpret the received calls.
Why Sysdig?

• Contrary to all other tools available for system monitoring, sysdig implements the “packet paradigm” applied to system events:
  ◦ Events are received in a way similar to what happens with packet capture.
  ◦ It is possible to store events on pcap-like files and reply them later on.

• To simplify things, instead of using the sysdig API, we added native sysdig support in PF_RING so that all apps (e.g. ntopng) can use it.
Integrating sysdig in nProbe [1/3]

• Instead of complicating the design of ntopng with sysdig support, we have decided to extend nProbe with system visibility.

• nProbe monitors both the network interfaces and the system events via PF_RING.

• Network and system information is then combined and exported in standard network flows over NetFlow v9/IPFIX and in JSON to ntopng for data visualization.
Integrating sysdig in nProbe [2/3]

• The current system information elements include:

  [NFv9 57640][IPFIX 35632.168] %SRC_PROC_PID
  [NFv9 57641][IPFIX 35632.169] %SRC_PROC_NAME
  [NFv9 57844][IPFIX 35632.372] %SRC_PROC_USER_NAME
  [NFv9 57845][IPFIX 35632.373] %SRC_FATHER_PROC_PID
  [NFv9 57846][IPFIX 35632.374] %SRC_FATHER_PROC_NAME
  [NFv9 57855][IPFIX 35632.383] %SRC_PROC_ACTUAL_MEMORY
  [NFv9 57856][IPFIX 35632.384] %SRC_PROC_PEAK_MEMORY
  [NFv9 57857][IPFIX 35632.385] %SRC_PROC_AVERAGE_CPU_LOAD
  [NFv9 57858][IPFIX 35632.386] %SRC_PROC_NUM_PAGE_FAULTS
  [NFv9 57865][IPFIX 35632.393] %SRC_PROC_PCTG_IOWAIT
  [NFv9 57847][IPFIX 35632.375] %DST_PROC_PID
  [NFv9 57848][IPFIX 35632.376] %DST_PROC_NAME
  [NFv9 57849][IPFIX 35632.377] %DST_PROC_USER_NAME
  [NFv9 57850][IPFIX 35632.378] %DST_FATHER_PROC_PID
  [NFv9 57851][IPFIX 35632.379] %DST_FATHER_PROC_NAME
  [NFv9 57859][IPFIX 35632.387] %DST_PROC_ACTUAL_MEMORY
  [NFv9 57860][IPFIX 35632.388] %DST_PROC_PEAK_MEMORY
  [NFv9 57861][IPFIX 35632.389] %DST_PROC_AVERAGE_CPU_LOAD
  [NFv9 57862][IPFIX 35632.390] %DST_PROC_NUM_PAGE_FAULTS
  [NFv9 57866][IPFIX 35632.394] %DST_PROC_PCTG_IOWAIT

  Src process PID
  Src process name
  Src process user name
  Src father process PID
  Src father process name
  Src process actual memory (bytes)
  Src process peak memory (bytes)
  Src process avg load (% * 100)
  Src process num pagefaults
  Src process iowait time % (% * 100)
  Dst process PID
  Dst process name
  Dst process user name
  Dst father process PID
  Dst father process name
  Dst process actual memory (bytes)
  Dst process peak memory (bytes)
  Dst process avg load (% * 100)
  Dst process num pagefaults
  Src process iowait time % (% * 100)
Integrating sysdig in nProbe [3/3]

• Using sysdig, nProbe is able to bind a (local) process to a network flow, and to monitor its I/O activities, CPU and memory utilisation.

• This way we know for sure what network activities are performed by processes, including those activities performed by trojans and malware that start up, send the packet-of-death and then disappear.

• Thanks to the PID/father-PID hierarchy it is possible to know an any time the exact activation chain.
ntopng+nProbe+sysdig [1/2]

• In order to activate system+network monitoring, it is necessary to load the sysdig kernel module and start nProbe (flow probe) as follows:

```bash
nprobe -T "%IPV4_SRC_ADDR %L4_SRC_PORT %IPV4_DST_ADDR %L4_DST_PORT %IN_PKTS %IN_BYTES %FIRST_SWITCHED %LAST_SWITCHED" %TCP_FLAGS %PROTOCOL @PROCESS@ %L7_PROTO --zmq "tcp://*:1234" -i any --dont-drop-privileges -t 5 -b 2
```

• Then start ntopng (flow collector) as follows:

```bash
ntopng -i tcp://nprobe1.ntop.org:1234 -i tcp://nprobe2.ntop.org:1234 ...
```
ntopng+nProbe+sysdig [2/2]

• When ntopng receives flow enriched with system information, it interprets it, and depicts:
  ◦ The process-to-flow association.
  ◦ For flows whose peers are hosts monitored by nProbe instances, it “glues” the flows together.
  ◦ The process call father/process hierarchy is depicted.
  ◦ The overall system process view including the process relationships.
Process Network Communications
Flow/Process Drill-down [1/2]
Flow/Process Drill-down [2/2]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Process Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User Name</td>
<td>deri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process PID/Name</td>
<td>13058/ntopng [son of 11235/tcsh]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average CPU Load</td>
<td>0.71 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/O Wait Time Percentage</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Actual / Peak</td>
<td>1.4 MB / 1.46 MB [95.7%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM Page Faults</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server Process Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User Name</td>
<td>redis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process PID/Name</td>
<td>1769/redis-server [son of 1/init]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average CPU Load</td>
<td>0.12 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/O Wait Time Percentage</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Actual / Peak</td>
<td>344.13 KB / 344.13 KB [100%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM Page Faults</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flow-to-Process binding

Flow-to-Process binding

Dynamically Updated

Dynamically Updated
### Active Process Network Connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>L4 Proto</th>
<th>Client Process</th>
<th>Client Peer</th>
<th>Server Process</th>
<th>Server Peer</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Breakdown</th>
<th>Total Bytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>chrome</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>50540</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>chrome</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>45671</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>48526</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>48528</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>48529</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>48527</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>48525</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>chrome</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>48461</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Showing 1 to 8 of 8 rows
### Active Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Flows Count</th>
<th>Active Since</th>
<th>Traffic Sent</th>
<th>Traffic Rcvd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sshd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19 min, 42 sec</td>
<td>39.99 KB</td>
<td>20.87 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>redis-server</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 day, 6 h, 19 min, 50 sec</td>
<td>1.96 GB</td>
<td>1.86 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1 day, 6 h, 19 min, 50 sec</td>
<td>2.05 GB</td>
<td>3.89 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nprobe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 day, 6 h, 19 min, 55 sec</td>
<td>2.72 GB</td>
<td>198.96 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 h, 41 min, 32 sec</td>
<td>63.57 MB</td>
<td>554.87 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dropbox</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 min, 27 sec</td>
<td>28.79 KB</td>
<td>6.36 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chrome</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14 h, 18 min, 2 sec</td>
<td>11.58 MB</td>
<td>790.09 MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Showing 1 to 7 of 7 rows
Process Protocols Drill-Down

- **Top L7 Protocols**
  - 64.3% Redis
  - 35.7% ZeroMQ
  - 0.0% Other
Processes Timeline
User Flows and Processes

**Active Flows**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>L4 Proto</th>
<th>Client Process</th>
<th>Client Peer</th>
<th>Server Process</th>
<th>Server Peer</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Breakdown</th>
<th>Total Bytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>chrome</td>
<td>i7:50540</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7:9200</td>
<td>1 h, 54 min, 2 sec</td>
<td>Server</td>
<td>43.81 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>chrome</td>
<td>i7:45671</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7:9200</td>
<td>1 h, 26 min, 2 sec</td>
<td>Server</td>
<td>26.99 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>chrome</td>
<td>i7:48461</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7:9200</td>
<td>15 min, 1 sec</td>
<td>Server</td>
<td>3.82 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7:33419</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7:9200</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>Client</td>
<td>8.13 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7:33418</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7:9200</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>Client</td>
<td>6.72 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info</td>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>ntopng</td>
<td>i7:33417</td>
<td>java</td>
<td>i7:9200</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>Client</td>
<td>6.71 KB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Historical Flow Navigation

- ntopng can store (-F) persistently on disk networks flows in SQLite format on 5 min DBs.
- Inside ntopng there is a (virtual) Historical interface that retrieves such flows and allows them to be navigated using the ntopng GUI.
ntopng and Big Data

• Using SQLite to save flows persistently is good when flows are not too many and the system that runs ntopng has storage.
• For large deployments or disk-less systems (e.g. ARM-based PCs) it is desirable to upload flows on remote, cloud-based, systems able to scale with the number of flows.
• In essence ntopng has been opened to what is currently defined as “big data” systems that can scale with data in volume and speed.
Integrating ntopng with ElasticSearch [1/2]

• An emerging Big Data system is ElasticSearch that is used by a large community because of its flexibility and user interface (Kibana) that allow visual applications to be developed in minutes.

• Although we do not want to bind ntopng only with ES, we believe that its integration is a good starting point for:
  • Opening ntopng to the Big Data world.
  • Allowing people to use ntopng as data source and let them use ES for long-term data storage and develop custom dashboards using Kibana.
Integrating ntopng with ElasticSearch [2/2]

• ntopng dumps exported flows in JSON format onto a Redis queue enriched with some specified ES attributes (e.g. @timestamp that specifies the time such flow has been exported).

• As soon as there is a minimum number of flows in queue, a ntopng thread packs them together and sends them to ES using the ES bulk API.

• ES indexes the received flows and make them available to external applications such as the Kibana dashboard.
ntopng Process Dashboard in Kibana [1/2]
ntopng Process Dashboard in Kibana [2/2]

• The GUI refreshes automatically as new data arrive and users can drill down data or visualise raw flows.
Integrating ntopng with InfluxDB
What’s Next on Big Data and ntopng

• We believe that the big data world is still very liquid and it is not clear what the emerging technology will be.
• We believe ntopng should be just a data source without being tightly integrated with any external tool (ntopng speaks JSON and HTTP so we can cover most of them pretty easily).
• We are experimenting with other big data technologies (e.g. druid.io) and we plan to open it to all the emerging technologies available.
ntopng on Virtual Environments

• ntopng has been packaged for major Linux distributions such as Debian/Ubuntu, CentOS/RedHat and also FreeBSD and OSX (brew): installation couldn’t be simpler.

• However the current trend is going towards virtualised environments (not just VMs such as VMware) and IaaS (Infrastructure as a Service) and thus we need to support them.
ntopng on Docker [1/5]

• In essence there are two types of virtualisation:
  ◦ Virtual Machine: emulation of a particular computer system, including its devices (network, storage, USB etc).
  ◦ Operating-system level virtualisation: run multiple isolated user-space instances (often called containers) that look like a real server.

• Docker is an open-source software that automates the deployment of applications inside software containers. Each container runs within a single Linux instance without the overhead of starting VMs.
ntopng on Docker [2/5]

Long-term Reports

Sniff on all containers
ntopng on Docker [3/5]

• A ntopng container allows you to run ntopng on a clean and isolated environment.
• Building a dock can be done in a few clicks on hub.docker.com
ntopng on Docker [4/5]

• **Install docker** ([http://docs.docker.com/installation/ubuntu/](http://docs.docker.com/installation/ubuntu/))

  ```
  $ sudo apt-get update
  $ sudo apt-get install docker.io
  $ sudo ln -sf /usr/bin/docker.io /usr/local/bin/docker
  $ sudo sed -i '$acomplete -F _docker docker' /etc/bash_completion.d/docker.io
  $ source /etc/bash_completion.d/docker.io
  $ sudo sh -c "echo deb https://get.docker.com/ubuntu docker main > /etc/apt/sources.list.d/docker.list"
  $ sudo apt-get update
  $ sudo apt-get install lxc-docker
  ```

• Go do [docker.com](http://docker.com) and search for ntopng
ntopng on Docker [5/5]

• Pull the ntopng container

```
root@ubuntu:/home/deri# docker pull lucaderi/ntopng-docker
Pulling repository lucaderi/ntopng-docker
8077c18a90a8: Download complete
511136ea3c5a: Download complete
d497ad3926c8: Download complete
cbb62158e970: Download complete
e791be0477f2: Download complete
e072f31bb2a5: Download complete
9e52f4c92f80: Download complete
ecc46895937f: Download complete
3af2545e225: Download complete
4f1229fadea7: Download complete
5b5364929c8f: Download complete
Status: Downloaded newer image for lucaderi/ntopng-docker:latest
```

• Run ntopng on a container

```
root@ubuntu:/home/deri# docker run --net=host --name ntopng -t -i lucaderi/ntopng-docker ntopng -v
...
02/Nov/2014 12:55:20 [HTTPserver.cpp:423] HTTP server listening on port 3000
02/Nov/2014 12:55:20 [Ntop.cpp:218] Welcome to ntopng x86_64 v.1.2.2 (r8539) - (C) 1998-14 ntop.org
```
ntopng on OpenStack [1/6]

• OpenStack is a technology that allows to deploy and control resources on a data center (VMs, storage, networking).

• Our interest in OpenStack is manyfold:
  ◦ Create an OpenStack VM image for enabling people to easily deploy ntop monitoring apps on datacenter.
  ◦ Exploit ntop’s PF_RING open-source packet processing technology for bringing packets in 0-copy at 10 Gbit on a VM managed by OpenStack. This is to enable efficient traffic monitoring on a data center.
ntopng on OpenStack [2/6]

- In OpenStack, VMs are KVM-based and are managed though the OpenStack controller.
ntopng on OpenStack [3/6]

• Through OpenStack we want to be able to deploy VMs with ntopng and attach them to virtual controllers (Open vSwitch) or 0-copy PF_RING ZC-based packet sources.

• With ZC, packets are captured in 0-copy from network adapters and deployed in 0-copy to VMs.

• ZC packets are deployed on the VM using virtual adapters attached dynamically to the VM though a ntop-developed kernel module based on PCI hotplug.
ntopng on OpenStack [4/6]

NOTE: OpenStack image available from the ntop web site
ntopng on OpenStack [5/6]
ntopng on OpenStack [6/6]
Embedding ntopng [1/4]

• Historically we have started our first embed attempt in 2003 with the Cyclades TS100.
• The nBox was used to analyse traffic then sent to ntop for representation.
• After 10 years we have tried again with ntopng.
Embedding ntopng [2/4]

• It is a while that we are working towards a cheap platform for everyone…

BeagleBoard Black
Embedding ntopng [3/4]

• Main issue with boards like BeagleBoard/Raspberry: only one ethernet interface built-in (extra ports via USB).

• Boxes like Ubiquity Networks EdgeRouter are also an option but we’re basically jeopardising a box designed for other tasks (issues with hardware guarantee, GUI etc.).

• Open issues: how to monitor traffic? Port mirror or tap?
Embedding ntopng [4/4]

• We’re trying to find the third way…
  ◦ Rely on a hardware company to build a cheap ARM-based box suitable for network monitoring (ntop is making software no hardware).
  ◦ Two ethernet interfaces to be used as either a bump-in-the-wire or 2 x independent interfaces.
  ◦ Built-in hardware tap with bypass.
  ◦ Able to monitor xDSL/cable and up.
  ◦ Power-over-Ethernet (POE).
Current Prototype [2/3]

• Hardware Roadmap
  ◦ Two models to be released in 2015.
    • Entry level model (cheap, no POE, tap w/o bypass).
    • Enterprise model (POE, tap+bypass, copper/optical).

• ntopng Software Roadmap
  ◦ Ability to control various distributed ntopng instances from a central location.
  ◦ Cloud-based/like storage of distributed probes.
  ◦ Passive and active (basic connectivity tests) edge monitoring for measuring quality-of-experience at the customer site.
Current Prototype [3/3]
Final Remarks

• Over the past 16 years ntop created a software framework for efficiently monitoring traffic.
• “We have a story to tell you, not just hacks”.
• Commodity hardware, with adequate software, can now match the performance and flexibility that markets require. With the freedom of open source.
• ntopng is available under GNU GPLv3 from http://www.ntop.org/.