

Enabling NVMe WRR support in Linux Block Layer

USENIX HotStorage'17

Kanchan Joshi, Praval Choudhary, Kaushal Yadav Memory solutions, Samsung Semiconductor India R&D

Outline




NVMe I/O queues

- □ Arbitration methods and WRR
- □ What it takes to build differentiated I/O service
- □ Affinity based method and its drawback
- Proposed method
- Results
- **Summary**


NVMe I/O Queues






NVMe I/O Queues







Arbitration Methods





Arbitration Methods









How to make prioritization capability (WRR) benefits reach to Applications!

I/O Prioritization

- Need to create prioritized I/O queues
- Retain NUMA-friendly path


I/O classification

- How application can specify I/O service?
- Per-application or per I/O?



WRR Support Requirements






I/O classification

- How application can specify I/O service?
- Per-application or per I/O?


WRR Support Requirements






I/O classification

- How application can specify I/O service?
- Per-application or per I/O?



Affinity-based Method


- Prioritization method: Each core hosts one type of submission queue (1:1 mapping)
- Classification method: Affine applications to particular core(s)





Affinity-based Method

- Prioritization method: Each core hosts one type of submission queue (1:1 mapping)
- Classification method: Affine applications to particular core(s)





Drawbacks



 All running applications must be affined (Arbitrary I/O performance otherwise)




Drawbacks



 All running applications must be affined (Arbitrary I/O performance otherwise)



- Reduction in compute-ability
- Mandatory affinity leading to asymmetric core-utilization



Proposed Method: I/O Priority-based

I/O Prioritization

- Create prioritized I/O queues on each core
- Retain NUMA-friendly path

I/O Classification


- Link NVMe priorities to existing I/O priority classes
- Per-application

Proposed Method: I/O Priority-based



I/O Prioritization

- Create prioritized I/O queues on each core
- Retain NUMA-friendly path



I/O Classification


- Link NVMe priorities to existing I/O priority classes
- Per-application

Proposed Method: I/O Priority-based




I/O Prioritization

- Create prioritized I/O queues on each core
- Retain NUMA-friendly path




I/O Classification

- Link NVMe priorities to existing I/O priority classes
- Per-application



I/O Priority-based Method

- Prioritization Method: Each core hosts four type of submission queues (4:1 mapping)
- Classification Method: Reuse existing I/O scheduling classes



Compute-ability unaffected


✓ Does not require modifying applications



I/O Priority-based Method

MSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR INDIA R&D CENTER

- > Prioritization Method: Each core hosts four type of submission queues (4:1 mapping)
- Classification Method: Reuse existing I/O scheduling classes




Compute-ability unaffected

✓ Does not require modifying applications

I/O Priority-based Method

- > Prioritization Method: Each core hosts four type of submission queues (4:1 mapping)
- Classification Method: Reuse existing I/O scheduling classes




Compute-ability unaffected

✓ Does not require modifying applications


Modified NVMe Stack (4.10 Kernel)






- Specify io-priority class value while running (ionice)
- This is stored in io_context inside task_struct


- Obtain io-class value from io_context (or from request)
- Map io-class to queue-priority value and place command in corresponding SQ



Ionice example on NVMe







Experimental Setup



Linux 4.10 Kernel (Modified NVMe Driver)

Dell R720 server

- 32 CPUs (2 NUMA nodes)
- 32 GB RAM



Samsung PM1725a SSD (With WRR arbitration)






□ IOPS distribution among 3 applications

Application configuration

- 4 FIO jobs
- QD 64
- 4K record






□ IOPS distribution among 3 applications

Application configuration

- 4 FIO jobs
- QD 64
- 4K record






□ IOPS distribution among 3 applications

Application configuration

- 4 FIO jobs
- QD 64
- 4K record







Bandwidth distribution among 3 applications

Application configuration

- 4 FIO jobs
- QD 64
- 128K record








Bandwidth distribution among 3 applications


Application configuration

- 4 FIO jobs
- QD 64
- 128K record






□ Foreground/Background IO control






□ Foreground/Background IO control



Foreground Read IOPS



RR mode

- Sharp decline in IOPS
- Background process cannot be throttled

WRR mode

- Background process can be throttled
- 16:1 = Throttle BG process
- 128:1 = Further throttling. Retains foreground performance

Summary



- **Differentiated I/O service for applications can be built using WRR arbitration**
- Scheduler-independent prioritization: Applications get the advantage of the prioritization natively present inside the device
- Proposed method does not reduce compute-ability of applications
- By not introducing new interface/API, need of rebuilding application is avoided
- **Given Setup** Future work
 - > Kernel patch
 - > Sysfs support for run-time WRR configuration







Acknowledgements

Rajesh Sahoo, Anshul Sharma, Sungyoung Ahn, Manoj Thapliyal, Vikram Singh, and Seunguk Shin