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Introduction to offloading

• More and more complicated mobile apps are developed and installed.
• However, mobile devices have only limited hardware resources.
Introduction to offloading

• In order to preserve limited hardware resources (CPU, battery etc.), researchers develop techniques to execute part of the mobile apps to cloud server.
Introduction to offloading

• Most existing research work focuses on identifying computation-intensive portion of the program to offload.
  - MAUI[MobiSys’10]
  - CloneCloud[EuroSys’11]
  - COMET[OSDI’12]

• Mobile app offloading needs to send code and data to the public cloud to enable the program execution.

• There is little work that attempts to address the privacy issue during offloading.
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Motivating example

Example: a simplified photo editor

```java
public void OnTouchEvent(MotionEvent e) {
    if (e is the correct touch event) {
        // First load picture to bitmap
        // then embed location info after editing
        Bitmap bm = LoadPicture(path);
        EditPicture(bm);
        ...
    }
}

Bitmap LoadPicture(String path) {
    ...
    Bitmap bm = BitmapFactory.decodeFile(file);
    return bm;
}

void EditPicture(Bitmap bm) {
    // heavy computational picture editing
    bm.getPixels(pix, 0, mPhotoWidth, 0, 0, mPhotoWidth, mPhotoHeight);
    for (every pixel) {
        ...
    }
    // embed location information into picture
    Location loc = getLastKnownLocation(Provider);
    double longitude = loc.getLongitude();
    double latitude = loc.getLatitude();
    EmbedLocation(bm, longitude, latitude);
    ...
}
```
Motivating example

From the motivating example, we learn:

• Some data-flow analysis is needed to identify non-offloadable code

• Fine-grained app offloading is needed (method-level offloading is not enough)

• Computation-intensive code maybe interleaved with non-offloadable code (differentiate offloadable code from non-offloadable code)
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The whole system is consisted of four major components:

1. **Static Analysis**: Identify and extract offloadable code regions
2. **Instrumentation & Partition**: Invoke dynamic decision-making component and perform offloading
3. **Cloud-side Deployment**: Use offloadable code regions to create a Java program on server side
4. **Dynamic decision Making**: Collect system information at runtime and make dynamic offloading decisions
From our system design, we aim at achieving the following design goals:

- **Privacy preservation**: keep user private data within mobile devices during offloading
- **Automatic offloading**: do not involve any human effort such as user annotation
- **High performance**: self-explanatory but we face new challenges due to fine-grained app offloading
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Static analysis has three major steps:
1. non-offloadable code identification
2. offloadable code grouping
3. pre-filtering
Definition of privacy: Our system allows user to configure what is considered as private information. Currently, we consider location, system setting (locale) and device ID as private by default.
We statically identify those non-offloadable code:
1) private data manipulation statements (real privacy)
2) GUI components (touch event)
3) local resource access (file access)
4) APIs that rely on Android OS or physical device to execute (send text message)
After identifying the non-offloadable code, all other code should be offloadable. We then group those offloadable statements into a number of code regions.
Code grouping has two goals:
1. Keep the original program logic
2. Maximize the code regions to minimize instrumentation and communication overhead

Algorithm 1 achieves these two goals. Please refer to the paper for the details.

**Algorithm 1 Offloadable Code Grouping**

\[\text{Set}_{NO} \leftarrow \text{all the non-offloadable statements in app}\]
\[\text{Set}_{method} \leftarrow \text{all the methods in app}\]
\[\text{Set}_{intersplitBranch}, \text{Set}_{intersplitTarget} \leftarrow \text{null}\]
\[\text{Set}_O \leftarrow \text{null}\]

\begin{algorithm}
\begin{algorithmic}
\For {\text{m} \in \text{Set}_{method}}
  \State \text{cfg}_m \leftarrow \text{GetControlFlowGraph}(m)
  \State \text{Set}_{cfg} \leftarrow \text{SplitCFG}([\text{cfg}_m, \text{Set}_{NO}])
  \For {\text{cfg} \in \text{Set}_{cfg}}
    \State \text{Set}_{branch} \leftarrow \text{GetBranches}([\text{cfg}])
    \If {\text{any b in Set}_{branch} \text{ has a target t out of Set}_{cfg}}
      \State \text{Set}_{intersplitBranch} \leftarrow \text{Set}_{intersplitBranch} \cup \text{b}
      \State \text{Set}_{intersplitTarget} \leftarrow \text{Set}_{intersplitTarget} \cup \text{t}
    \EndIf
  \EndFor
\EndFor

\For {\text{m} \in \text{Set}_{method}}
  \State \text{cfg}_m \leftarrow \text{GetControlFlowGraph}(m)
  \State \text{Set}_{cfg} \leftarrow \text{SplitCFG}([\text{cfg}_m, \text{Set}_{NO} \cup \text{Set}_{intersplitTarget}])
  \State \text{Set}_{cfg} \leftarrow \text{DeleteNode}([\text{cfg}, \text{Set}_{NO}])
  \State \text{Set}_{cfg} \leftarrow \text{SetAsReturn}([\text{cfg}, \text{Set}_{intersplitBranch}])
  \State \text{Set}_O \leftarrow \text{Set}_O \cup \text{Set}_{cfg}
\EndFor
\end{algorithmic}
\end{algorithm}

\textbf{output} \text{Set}_O \text{ as a set of offloadable code regions}
Pre-filtering is performed to filter out small code regions that are not computation-intensive. Do not contain loops and have a small number of statements. Because potentially, offloading those small code regions will not bring benefit.
Implementation - Instrumentation

- Make a copy of offloadable code regions in the app
- Each copied code region becomes one RPC method
- Instrument the original program by inserting decision making code and remote procedural calls.

```java
void EditPicture(Bitmap bm) {
    boolean callRemote = makeDecision();
    if (callRemote) {
        callRPCEditPicture1(bm);
    } else {
        // heavy computational picture editing
        bm.getPixels(pix, 0, mPhotoWidth, 0, 0, mPhotoWidth, mPhotoHeight);
        ...
    }
    // embed location information into picture
    Location loc = getLastKnownLocation(Provider);
    ...
    EmbedLocation(bm, longitude, latitude);
}
```
Implementation – Runtime decision

• Create an Android service to make offloading decisions based on runtime information (network)

• **Service component** runs in the background and returns true/false to allow/disallow offloading.

```java
void EditPicture(Bitmap bm) {
    boolean callRemote = makeDecision();
    if (callRemote){
        callRPCEditPicture1(bm);
    } else {
        //heavy computational picture editing
        bm.getPixels(pix, 0, mPhotoWidth, 0, 0,
        mPhotoWidth, mPhotoHeight);
        ...
    }
    //embed location information into picture
    Location loc = getLastKnownLocation(Provider);
    ...
    EmbedLocation(bm, longitude, latitude);
}
```
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Preliminary evaluation

• We conduct our preliminary evaluation on a Nexus S model device running Android 4.0.4 in local network environment.

• We evaluate our system with two Android applications.
  – Photo editor that embeds location information into the picture
  – Color detector that shows text in different languages based on current locale setting
Preliminary evaluation

Runtime performance: speed up by 25.5% and 53.8% respectively for the two apps.
Preliminary evaluation

Power consumption: reduced by 15.9% and 38.7% respectively.
Preliminary evaluation

App size: negligibly increased by 0.4% and 0.8%.
Discussion

• Dynamic privacy policy configuration
So far, our privacy configuration has been static. We would like to extend it to a dynamic fashion. This requirement might completely change the system design.

• Communication Versus Computation
How to quantify the benefits of offloading using various of system and program information?