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The Linux kernel:

- Open source OS kernel, used in smartphones to supercomputers.
- 16MLOC and rapidly growing.
- Frequent changes to improve correctness and performance.

Issues:

- How to perform evolutions in such a large code base?
- Once a bug is found, how to check whether it occurs elsewhere?
How to better maintain large code bases?

**Patches:** The key to reasoning about change in the Linux kernel.

```c
- fh = kmalloc(sizeof(struct zoran_fh), GFP_KERNEL);
+ fh = kzalloc(sizeof(struct zoran_fh), GFP_KERNEL);
  if (!fh) {
      dprintk(1,
          KERN_ERR "%s: zoran_open(): allocation of zoran_fh failed\n",
          ZR_DEVNAME(zr));
      return -ENOMEM;
  }
- memset(fh, 0, sizeof(struct zoran_fh));
```
A SmPL idea: Raise the level of abstraction to semantic patches.
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To:

```c
expression x, E1, E2;

- x = kmalloc(E1, E2);
+ x = kzalloc(E1, E2);
... 
- memset(x, 0, E1);
```

- SmPL = Semantic Patch Language
- Coccinelle applies SmPL semantic patches across a code base.
• Over 5500 commits.
• 59 semantic patches in the Linux kernel, usable via make coccicheck.
Over 5500 commits.

59 semantic patches in the Linux kernel, usable via make coccicheck.

44% of the 88 kernel developers who have at least one commit that touches 100 files also have at least one commit that uses Coccinelle.
How did we get here?
Design dimensions

- Expressivity
- Performance
- Correctness guarantees
- Dissemination

Did we make the right decisions?
Original hypothesis: Linux kernel developers will find it easy and convenient to describe needed code changes in terms of fragments of removed and added code.

expression $x, E_1, E_2$;

- $x = \text{kmalloc}(E_1, E_2)$;
+ $x = \text{kzalloc}(E_1, E_2)$;
... 
- $\text{memset}(x, 0, E_1)$;
Confrontation with the real world:

- Many language evolutions: C features, metavariable types, etc.
- Position variables.
  - Record and match position of a token.
- Scripting language rules.
  - Original goal: bug finding, eg buffer overflows.
  - Used in practice for error reporting, counting, etc.
Position variables and scripts

expression object;
position p

(script:
object << r.object;
p << r.p;

msg="WARNING: use get/put helpers to reference and dereference %s" % (object)
coccilib.report.print_report(p[0], msg)
Status: Use of new features

- 3325 commits contain semantic patches.
- 18% use position variables.
- 5% use scripts.
- 43% of the semantic patches using position variables or scripts are from outside the Coccinelle team.
- All 59 semantic patches in the Linux kernel use both.
Goal: Be usable on a typical developer laptop.

Target code base: 5MLOC in Feb 2007, 16.5MLOC in Jan 2018.

Original design choices:

- Intraprocedural, one file at a time.
- Process only .c files, by default.
- Include only local or same-named headers, by default.
- No macro expansion, instead use heuristics to parse macro uses.
- Provide best-effort type inference, but no other program analysis.
Confrontation with the real world:

- 1, 5, or 15 MLOC is a lot of code.
- Parsing is slow, because of backtracking heuristics.
Performance evolutions
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Evolutions:

- Indexing, via glimpse, id-utils.
- Parallelism, via parmap.
Based on the 59 semantic patches in the Linux kernel.
Coccinelle design: correctness guarantees

Ensure that outermost terms are replaced by like outermost terms

```plaintext
expression x,E1,E2,E3;
- x = kmalloc(E1,E2);
+ x = kzalloc(E1,E2);
... 
- memset(x, 0, E1);
```

No other correctness guarantees:

- Bug fixes and evolutions may not be semantics preserving.
- Improves expressiveness and performance.
- Rely on developer’s knowledge of the code base and ease of creating and refining semantic patches.
Confrontation with the real world:

Mostly, developer control over readable rules is good enough.
Coccinelle design: dissemination strategy

Show by example:

- **June 1, 2007**: Fix parse errors in kernel code.
- **July 7, 2007**: Irq function evolution
  - Updates in 5 files, in *net*, *atm*, and *usb*
- **July 6, 2007**: kmalloc + memset $\rightarrow$ kzalloc
  - Updates to 166 calls in 146 files.
  - A kernel developer responded “Cool!”.
  - Violated patch-review policy of Linux.
- **July 2008**: Use by a non-Coccinelle developer.
- **October 2008**: Open-source release.
Dissemination strategy evolutions

Confrontation with the real world:

- Showing by example generated initial interest.
- Organized four workshops: industry participants.
- Presentations at developer conferences: FOSDEM, Linux Plumbers, etc.
- LWN articles by kernel developers.
Impact: Changed lines

- arch
- block
- crypto
- drivers
- fs
- include
- init
- ipc
- kernel
- lib
- mm
- net
- samples
- security
- sound
- tools
- virt

lines of code (log scale)
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Impact: Maintainer use examples

TTY. Remove an unused function argument.

- 11 affected files.

DRM. Eliminate a redundant field in a data structure.

- 54 affected files.

Interrupts. Prepare to remove the irq argument from interrupt handlers, and then remove that argument.

- 188 affected files.
Impact: Intel’s 0-day build-testing service

59 semantic patches in the Linux kernel with a dedicated make target.

![Diagram showing the number of patches with messages and without messages from 2013 to 2017, categorized by type.](image-url)
Coccinelle community

25 contributors

• Most from the Coccinelle team, due to use of OCaml and PL concepts.
• Active mailing list (cocci@systeme.lip6.fr).

Availability

• Packaged for many Linux distros.

Use outside Linux

• RIOT, systemd, qemu, etc.
Conclusion

• Initial design decisions mostly remain valid, with some extensions.
  – Take the expertise of the target users into account.
  – Avoid creeping featurism: Do one thing and do it well.

• Tool should be easy to access and install, and easy to use and robust.

• Success measure: Over 5500 commits in the Linux kernel based on Coccinelle.
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