

# Log Analysis and Event Correlation Using Variable Temporal Event Correlator (VTEC)

Paul Krizak | November 11, 2010



### Background

- Swatch was being used until 2006, didn't scale past a few thousand systems, lacked sophisticated event correlation features.
- Project goals:
  - Scale to 10's of GB/day of log data
  - Take advantage of multiple processors
  - Correlate events in real-time (no batch processing)
  - Correlation rules must be easy to read, modify, create
- SEC, Splunk evaluated
  - SEC: rules too difficult to read/learn/modify
  - Splunk: indexer did not scale (v1.0)





### Architecture

fusion





# **Rule Engines**

- Accept filtered log data from syslog-ng on STDIN
- Interacts with variable server and action server using Perl object interfaces

```
# Instantiate objects to communicate with the servers
my $vs = new VariableInterface();
my $as = new ActionInterface();
# Setup thresholds
$vs->set_incrementer_threshold("name", ">=", 10);
# Process log data
while(<STDIN>) {
    my %msg = parse($_);
    # Event correlation stuff
}
```





### **Temporal Variables**

- Scalar
  - Stores an arbitrary data value that has a finite lifetime
  - Returns "0" when timed out
  - Good for alarms, temporary data storage



### **Temporal Variables**

#### Incrementer

- Counts the number of events over a window of time
- Used to detect rates of events over time
- Timeout period lets you adjust how far into the past you want to count data
- Increment amount is generally set to 1

```
# Detect rate of EXT3 errors on www over 10 second period.
if($msg{from_host} eq "www" and $msg{message} =~ /EXT3 Error/i) {
    # No instantiation, just create as needed at any time.
    # name increment timeout
    $vs->set_incrementer("www_ext3", 1, "+10");
    if($vs->get_incrementer("www_ext3") > 10) {
        # More than 10 EXT3 errors/10 sec => 60/min or 1/sec
        notify_ops_staff();
    }
```

### **Temporal Variables**

List

- Collects incrementers into one structure using keys
- Aggregates data across similar incrementers

```
# Detect NFS problems
If \{\max_{m \in \mathbb{N}} = \sqrt{NFS \text{ server } (\mathbb{w}+) \text{ not responding/i} } \}
    my \$nfs server = \$1;
    # The increment amount in a list is hard-coded to 1
    #
                   name key to increment timeout
    $vs->set list("nfs", $nfs server,
                                            ``+60");
    # fetch the number of unique keys in the "nfs" list
    if($vs->get list keys("nfs") > 50) {
        # More than 50 hosts (keys) have reported that $nfs server
        # is down (key has not timed out) in the last 60 seconds.
        notify ops staff();
    }
}
# You can also query the entire list - this would return the total
# number of "not responding" messages in the last 60 sec:
$vs->get list all("nfs")
```





## **Variable and Action Servers**

- Variable server
  - Hosts variables in a common namespace
    - Rule engines can share data
    - Rule engines do not have to maintain state
  - Injects messages into log stream when threshold conditions are met (as defined by the rule engines)

#### Action server

- Queues jobs that can alert or correct problems detected by rule engines
- Jobs can run at a specific time, or "now+time"





## Some Rule Engines at AMD

- Failed hardware
  - Counts ECC/EXT3 errors and alerts when threshold exceeded
- NFS file server checks
  - Monitors for "NFS server xxx not responding" and alerts when a large number of unique hosts are reporting problems
- Interactive load monitor collator
  - Aggregates periodic load data from interactive servers and sends out collated reports to users
- Reboot loop detection
  - Alerts support staff if hosts are stuck in a reboot loop





# **Designing for Performance**

- Current performance
  - Handling ~1000 msg/sec (~10GB/day) with 21 rule engines and four local disk logs on a four-vCPU VM
- Multi-threading
  - Variable and action servers use Perl threads to distribute workload
  - Rule engines, syslog-ng are all in separate processes
- Bottlenecks
  - Syslog-ng can be a bottleneck if many match() rules are used (regular expression engine)
  - Incrementer calculation routine in is O(n); does not scale well with frequent events (>200 events/sec)





### Challenges

- Variable server performance (due to incrementers)
  - New O(log n) routine is being tested
- Feedback from actions
  - Currently mostly "fire and forget"
    - You can (awkwardly) have actions use things like logger(1) to inject status data back into the log stream





### **Lessons Learned**

- Designing for scalability from the ground up is crucial
  - Take advantage of multi-core with threads and multiple processes
  - RAM is cheap use in-memory data structures instead of disk-based databases
  - Watch out for algorithms that are O(n) or worse
- Abstraction is a powerful tool when correlating events
  - Abstracting rate data into a simple incrementer/list query
  - Breaking up complex correlations onto multiple engines
- Never underestimate the power of familiarity
  - For a system that must be "programmed" stick with a familiar language, so that your customers/colleagues will actually use it!





#### **Trademark Attribution**

AMD, the AMD Arrow logo and combinations thereof are trademarks of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. in the United States and/or other jurisdictions. Other names used in this presentation are for identification purposes only and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

©2009 Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. All rights reserved.







# **Backup Slides**

Paul Krizak | November 11, 2010



### **Animation: Incrementer**





