Backgroun	
0	
00	
0	

Data 00 0 Results 000 000000 The other side

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

The Effectiveness of Internet Content Filters

Philip B. Stark

Department of Statistics University of California, Berkeley

USENIX FOCI '11 8 August 2011 San Francisco, CA Background

Results 000 000000 The other side

Background

http://youtu.be/cNARJPNz2CA

- Study commissioned by DoJ re Child Online Protection Act of 1998 (COPA).
- Apologies: stale data. 2005–2006. Required subpoenas of Google, AOL, MSN, Yahoo!
- Attempts to legislate protection of minors: CDA, CIPA, COPA.
- I worked primarily on COPA; a little on CIPA.
- Team at CRAI led by Paul Mewett collected and categorized the webpages and ran filter tests.
- I designed the experiments, drew the random samples, analyzed the data.
- News coverage of Google subpoena generated lots of hate mail. FOCI?

Background	Data	Results	The other side
	00	000 000000 0	0
0		0	



- 2nd attempt to legislate protection from commercial "harmful-to-minors" content
- NOT ABOUT CHILD PORNOGRAPHY
- Exemptions for literary, artistic, and educational content, ISPs, search engines.

- Requires age screen for commercial porn.
- Credit card number deemed adequate proof of age.



The other side

Supreme Court

- Feds have legitimate interest in protecting children.
- COPA potentially "chilling" of free speech.
- DoJ had to show that COPA is "least restrictive alternative."
- How well do filters work?

Background

Results 000 000000 The other side

My job was to figure out:

- How much porn is there on the Internet?
- How often do people come across it?
- How effective are filters at blocking it?
- How much "clean stuff" do filters block?

The other side

Data Sources

Filters over block and under block (Type I and II errors).

Population of pages matters. What's relevant?

Internet largely mediated by search engines.

- Random sample of 50,000 webpages from Google search index in 2006. (Pages users might find.)
- Random sample of 1 million webpages from MSN search index in 2005. (Pages users might find.)
- Week of search queries from AOL, MSN and Yahoo! by subpoena, about 1.3 billion (Pages users do find.)
- 685 most popular queries from Wordtracker 11/12/05–2/20/06. (Pages users find most often.)

Backgroun 0 00 0 Results 000 000000 The other side

Categorization of Pages

Team at CRA International attempted to view and categorize

- 39,999 random webpages from MSN index
- 11,000 random the webpages from Google index
- first 10 results of each of a stratified random sample of 7,541 queries (total weight 15,461)
- first 10 results of the 685 Wordtracker searches

Background 0 00 0 Data 00 Results 000 000000 The other side

Raw results

- 68,150 webpages of which 63,105 worked.
- 60,833 Category 1a: no reference to sex and no nudity.
- 1,382 Category 5f: adult entertainment.
- 890 in other categories, e.g., show genitalia in an artistic or educational context.

I drew random samples of the Category 1a pages to test filters.

The other side

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Sizes of populations and samples. Searches weighted by frequency.

	Google index	MSN index	AOL, MSN & Yahoo! searches	Wordtracker searches
pages in sample	11,100	39,999	22,405	206 million
working pages				
in sample	10,009	36,557	21,870	195 million
queries in				
population			1.3 billion	20.6 million
queries				
in sample			2,345	20.6 million

The other side

Estimated prevalence of adult pages

Source	Google	MSN	AOL, MSN &	Wordtracker
	index	index	Yahoo! searches	searches
adult webpages	1.1%	1.1%	1.7%	14.1%
domestic				
adult webpages	44.2%	56.7%	88.4%	87.4%
searches with				
adult results			6.0%	37.1%
searches with				
domestic adult				
results			5.7%	37.0%

Data 00 0 Results

The other side

Conservative 95% lower confidence limits found by inverting binomial tests.

	Google	MSN	AOL, MSN &	
	index	index	Yahoo! searches	
adult	1.0%	1.0%	2.5%	
domestic adult	0.4%	0.5%	2.2%	

The other side

Estimated underblocking & overblocking rates

Filter	Underblocking		Overblocking	
	Google	MSN	Google	MSN
AOL Mature Teen	8.9%	8.6%	22.6%	23.6%
MSN Pornography	16.8%	18.7%	19.6%	10.3%
MSN Teen	17.7%	20.5%	21.9%	18.9%
ContentProtect				
Default	38.3%	45.4%	2.8%	3.0%
ContentProtect				
Custom	28.3%	46.7%	1.4%	0.7%
CyberPatrol				
Custom	31.0%	33.5%	1.4%	0.9%
CyberSitter				
Default	12.7%	16.5%	3.6%	4.1%
CyberSitter				
Custom	12.4%	18.9%	4.0%	3.7%
McAfee Young				
Teen	16.1%	26.0%	12.4%	13.2%
Net Nanny				
Level 2	44.0%	46.1%	3.3%	2.2%
Norton Default	60.2%	54.9%	1.4%	0.7%
Norton Custom	58.4%	54.2%	0.9%	0.4%
Verizon	41.8%	40.3%	9.4%	5.7%
8e6	18.3%	23.0%	9.4%	7.5%
SafeEyes	16.2%	15.2%	3.3%	3.2%

The other side

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Conservative 95% lower confidence limits

Filter	underblocking		overblocking	
	Google	MSN	Google	MSN
AOL Mature Teen	5.6%	6.5%	18.4%	21.0%
MSN Pornography	12.1%	15.7%	15.8%	8.5%
MSN Teen	12.8%	17.4%	17.8%	16.6%
ContentProtect				
Default	31.3%	41.3%	1.5%	2.1%
ContentProtect				
Custom	22.2%	42.6%	0.6%	0.4%
CyberPatrol				
Custom	24.6%	29.7%	0.6%	0.5%
CyberSitter				
Default	8.6%	13.6%	2.1%	3.1%
CyberSitter				
Custom	8.4%	15.9%	2.4%	2.7%
McAfee Young				
Teen	11.4%	22.5%	9.3%	11.3%
Net Nanny				
Level 2	36.8%	41.9%	1.9%	1.5%
Norton Default	52.9%	50.7%	0.6%	0.4%
Norton Custom	51.1%	50.1%	0.4%	0.2%
Verizon	34.7%	36.2%	6.7%	4.4%
8e6	13.1%	19.6%	6.7%	6.0%
SafeEyes	11.4%	12.3%	1.9%	2.3%

ackground	Data	Results	The other side
	00	000	0
0	0	00000	0
		0	

Of adult pages not blocked, estimated percentage that are domestic

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Filter	Google	MSN
AOL Mature Teen	40.0%	40.6%
MSN Pornography	31.6%	42.9%
MSN Teen	40.0%	37.7%
ContentProtect		
Default	39.0%	45.8%
ContentProtect		
Custom	40.6%	47.1%
CyberPatrol		
Custom	48.6%	44.0%
CyberSitter		
Default	50.0%	32.8%
CyberSitter		
Custom	57.1%	36.2%
McAfee Young		
Teen	44.4%	37.5%
Net Nanny		
Level 2	41.7%	48.1%
Norton Default	35.3%	49.3%
Norton Custom	36.4%	49.7%
Verizon	37.0%	42.4%
8e6	42.1%	46.8%
SafeEyes	35.3%	40.4%

Bac 0 00

Estimated underblocking & overblocking AOL, MSN, & Yahoo! search results

filter	underblocking	overblocking	domestic	underblocking	95% confidence
	for results	for results	underblocking	for queries	limit
AOL Mature Teen	6.2%	12.5%	57.0%	15.6%	5.3%
MSN Pornography	21.4%	4.4%	86.1%	32.3%	20.9%
MSN Teen	20.8%	5.8%	91.9%	28.1%	18.8%
ContentProtect					
Default	18.4%	6.4%	70.1%	46.2%	10.0%
ContentProtect					
Custom	20.4%	0.0%	62.1%	42.2%	25.4%
CyberPatrol					
Custom	34.6%	0.4%	94.9%	65.6%	24.4%
CyberSitter					
Default	11.2%	4.6%	33.8%	23.2%	11.2%
CyberSitter					
Custom	10.0%	5.3%	44.1%	20.1%	8.1%
McAfee Young					
Teen	14.2%	20.7%	80.7%	30.9%	10.4%
Net Nanny					
Level 2	28.1%	3.7%	79.4%	36.6%	20.8%
Norton Default	42.1%	0.8%	85.3%	51.6%	49.3%
Norton Custom	43.4%	0.0%	85.6%	56.1%	54.3%
Verizon	23.1%	1.3%	80.9%	41.6%	31.4%
8e6	7.3%	7.5%	78.0%	23.4%	11.7%
SafeEyes	13.7%	1.9%	87.8%	29.8%	14.9%

Underblocking & estimated overblocking for Wordtracker query results

filter	underblocking	overblocking	domestic	underblocking
	for results	for results	underblocking	for queries
AOL Mature Teen	1.3%	19.6%	69.2%	4.3%
MSN Pornography	2.7%	13.3%	86.1%	8.2%
MSN Teen	2.6%	13.7%	83.1%	8.3%
ContentProtect				
Default	7.5%	12.4%	84.1%	23.1%
ContentProtect				
Custom	8.1%	7.8%	84.9%	25.3%
CyberPatrol				
Custom	3.9%	9.2%	86.4%	10.1%
CyberSitter				
Default	1.4%	19.9%	69.3%	5.1%
CyberSitter				
Custom	2.9%	18.2%	84.0%	9.4%
McAfee Young				
Teen	2.8%	32.8%	70.7%	9.3%
Net Nanny				
Level 2	12.6%	9.5%	82.9%	34.4%
Norton Default	9.9%	4.8%	79.4%	25.2%
Norton Custom	10.2%	2.9%	79.4%	25.9%
Verizon	4.4%	16.1%	67.9%	15.0%
8e6	3.4%	25.1%	93.0%	10.3%
SafeEyes	2.0%	16.5%	96.6%	6.4%



Summary of Filtering

- Most restrictive filter blocked 91% of adult pages; also blocked about 23-24% of the clean webpages in the indexes.
- Would block 22–23 clean webpages for each adult page it blocks in Google or MSN search index
- Less restrictive filters blocked as little as 40% of the adult pages.
- The most restrictive filter blocked about 94% of the adult pages among search results; also blocked about 13% of clean search results.
- On average, it would block about 7.6 clean results for every adult result it blocks.
- For the most popular queries, the most restrictive filter blocks over 98% of adult results; also blocked ≈20% of clean results.
- Would block ≈1.1 clean results of popular searches for each adult result it blocks.

Backgroun
0
00
0

Foreign Adult Websites with Commercial Ties to the US

Data Source	Percentage
Google index	90.3%
MSN index	89.8%
AOL, MSN & Yahoo! queries	88.2%
Wordtracker queries	95.9%

Estimated percentage of nominally free adult foreign webpages that have

commercial ties to the United States, based on data provided by CRA International.

Estimates for query results take into account query weights.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Filtering studies cited by Plaintiffs' Expert

Reference	Year	Sample type	Quantitative	Source of pages
eTesting Labs	2001	convenience	yes	searches on Google
eTesting Labs	2002	convenience	yes	searches on Google; DMOZ
NetAlert	2001	quota	yes	unknown
PC Magazine	2004	unknown	no	unknown
Consumer Reports	2005	convenience	no	unknown
Rulespace depo	2006	convenience	yes	unknown

eTesting 1: Google search for "free adult sex." eTesting 2: Added DMOZ; took sample of results. NetAlert: at most 30 webpages.

This isn't science.

Backgrou 0 00 Results 000 000000 The other side ○

Plaintiffs' Geography Study

- Claim: less than half of "free" porn sites are in US, and about 2/3 of adult membership websites are in US
- Universe: Adultreviews.net, Adultwebmasters.org, Google Web Directory, Sextracker.com.
- Sample of convenience, not census or random sample.
- According to his database, the following are porn sites: aol.com, msn.com, yahoo.com, about.com lycos.fr, lycos.co.uk com.ar, com.au, com.br, co.hu, co.il, co.kr, com.mx, co.nz, com.pl, com.pt, com.tw, com.ua, co.uk, com.ve, co.yu, co.za
- Serious bug: claims entire commercial domains of at least 17 countries are porn sites.

This isn't science. Judge took his results at face value nonetheless.